arXiv:math/0504475v1 [math.RA] 22 Apr 2005

Generators and defining relations for the ring of differential operators on a smooth affine algebraic variety

V. V. Bavula

Abstract

For the ring of differential operators on a smooth affine algebraic variety X over a field of characteristic zero a *finite* set of algebra generators and a *finite* set of *defining* relations are found *explicitly*. As a consequence, a finite set of generators and a finite set of defining relations are given for the module $\mathrm{Der}_K(\mathcal{O}(X))$ of derivations on the algebra $\mathcal{O}(X)$ of regular functions on the variety X. For the variety X which is not necessarily smooth, a set of *natural* derivations $\mathrm{der}_K(\mathcal{O}(X))$ of the algebra $\mathcal{O}(X)$ and a ring $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{O}(X))$ of *natural* differential operators on $\mathcal{O}(X)$ are introduced. The algebra $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{O}(X))$ is a *Noetherian* algebra of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension $2\mathrm{dim}(X)$. When X is smooth then $\mathrm{der}_K(\mathcal{O}(X)) = \mathrm{Der}_K(\mathcal{O}(X))$ and $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{O}(X)) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(X))$. A criterion of smoothness of X is given when X is irreducible (X is smooth iff $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{O}(X))$ is a simple algebra iff $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is a simple $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{O}(X))$-module). The same results are true for regular algebras of *essentially finite type*. For a *singular* irreducible affine algebraic variety X, in general, the algebra of differential operators $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(X))$ needs not be finitely generated nor (left or right) Noetherian, it is proved that each term $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(X))_i$ of the *order* filtration $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(X)) = \cup_{i\geq 0}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(X))_i$ is a *finitely generated left* $\mathcal{O}(X)$-module.

Mathematics subject classification 2000: 13N10, 16S32, 15S15, 13N15, 14J17

1 Introduction

The following notation will remain **fixed** throughout the paper (if it is not stated otherwise): K is a field of characteristic zero (not necessarily algebraically closed), module means a *left* module, $P_n = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a polynomial algebra over K, $\partial_1 := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \partial_n := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \in \mathrm{Der}_K(P_n)$, $I := \sum_{i=1}^m P_n f_i$ is a **prime** but **not** a maximal ideal of the polynomial algebra P_n with a set of generators $f_1, \ldots, f_m$, the algebra $A := P_n/I$ which is a domain with the field of fractions $Q := \mathrm{Frac}(A)$, the epimorphism $\pi : P_n \to A$, $p \mapsto \overline{p} := p + I$, to make notation simpler we sometime write x_i for $\overline{x}_i$ (if it does not lead to confusion), the **Jacobi** $m \times n$ matrices $J = (\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}) \in M_{m,n}(P_n)$ and $\overline{J} = (\overline{\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}}) \in M_{m,n}(A) \subseteq M_{m,n}(Q)$, $r := \mathrm{rk}_Q(\overline{J})$ is the **rank** of the Jacobi matrix $\overline{J}$ over the field Q, $\mathfrak{a}_r$ is the **Jacobian ideal** of the algebra A which is (by definition) generated by all the $r \times r$ minors of the Jacobi matrix $\overline{J}$ (A is regular iff $\mathfrak{a}_r = A$, it is the **Jacobian criterion of regularity**, [3], 16.19), Ω_A is the module of **Kähler** differentials for the algebra A. For $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ such that $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq m$ and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_r)$ such that $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_r \leq n$, $\Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})$ denotes the corresponding minor of the Jacobi matrix $\overline{J} = (\overline{J}_{ij})$, that is $\det(\overline{J}_{i_\nu, j_\mu})$, $\nu, \mu = 1, \dots, r$, and the $\mathbf{i}$ (resp. $\mathbf{j}$) is called **non-singular** if $\Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}') \neq 0$ (resp. $\Delta(\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}) \neq 0$) for some $\mathbf{j}'$ (resp. $\mathbf{i}'$). We denote by $\mathbf{I}_r$ (resp. $\mathbf{J}_r$) the set of all the non-singular r-tuples $\mathbf{i}$ (resp. $\mathbf{j}$).

Since r is the rank of the Jacobi matrix $\overline{J}$, it is easy to show that $\Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \neq 0$ iff $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_r$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_r$ (Lemma 2.1). Denote by $\mathbf{J}_{r+1}$ the set of all $(r+1)$-tuples $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_{r+1})$ such that $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_{r+1} \leq n$ and when deleting *some* element, say j_ν, we have a *non-singular* r-tuple $(j_1, \dots, \widehat{j_\nu}, \dots, j_{r+1}) \in \mathbf{J}_r$ where hat over symbol here and everywhere means that the symbol is omitted from the list. The set $\mathbf{J}_{r+1}$ is called the **critical set** and any element of it is called a **critical singular** $(r+1)$-tuple. $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)$ is the A-module of K-derivations of the algebra A.

The next theorem gives a finite set of generators and a finite set of *defining* relations for the left A-module $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)$ when A is a *regular* algebra.

Theorem 1.1 *Let the algebra A be a regular algebra. Then the left A-module $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)$ is generated by derivations $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$, $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_r$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{r+1}$, where*

$$\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} = \partial_{i_1,\dots,i_r;j_1,\dots,j_{r+1}} := \det \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_1}}{\partial x_{j_1}}} & \cdots & \overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_1}}{\partial x_{j_{r+1}}}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_r}}{\partial x_{j_1}}} & \cdots & \overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_r}}{\partial x_{j_{r+1}}}} \\ \partial_{j_1} & \cdots & \partial_{j_{r+1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

that satisfy the following defining relations (as a left A-module):

$$\Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})\partial_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'} = \sum_{l=1}^{s} (-1)^{r+1+\nu_l} \Delta(\mathbf{i}'; j'_1, \dots, \widehat{j'_{\nu_l}}, \dots, j'_{r+1}) \partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},j'_{\nu_l}} \tag{1}$$

for all $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}' \in \mathbf{I}_r$, $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_r) \in \mathbf{J}_r$, and $\mathbf{j}' = (j'_1, \dots, j'_{r+1}) \in \mathbf{J}_{r+1}$ where $\{j'_{\nu_1}, \dots, j'_{\nu_s}\} = \{j'_1, \dots, j'_{r+1}\} \backslash \{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$.

The next result gives a finite set of generators and a finite set of *defining* relations for the K-algebra $\mathcal{D}(A)$ of differential operators on A.

Theorem 1.2 *Let the algebra A be a regular algebra. Then the ring of differential operators $\mathcal{D}(A)$ on A is generated over K by the algebra A and the derivations $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$, $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_r$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{r+1}$ that satisfy the defining relations (1) and*

$$\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} \overline{x}_k = \overline{x}_k \partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} + \partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(\overline{x}_k), \ \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_r, \ \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{r+1}, \ k = 1, \dots, n. \tag{2}$$

More formally, as an abstract K-algebra the ring of differential operators is generated by elements $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and $d_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$, $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_r$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{r+1}$ that satisfy the following defining relations:

$$f_1 = \cdots = f_m = 0, \ \ x_i x_j = x_j x_i, \ i, j = 1, \dots, n, \tag{3}$$

$$d_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}x_k = x_k d_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} + \partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(x_k), \;\; \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_r, \; \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{r+1}, \; k = 1, \dots, n, \tag{4}$$

$$\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})d_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'} = \sum_{l=1}^{s} (-1)^{r+1+\nu_l} \Delta(\mathbf{i}'; j'_1, \dots, \widehat{j'_{\nu_l}}, \dots, j'_{r+1}) d_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},j'_{\nu_l}} \tag{5}$$

for all $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}' \in \mathbf{I}_r$*,* $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_r) \in \mathbf{J}_r$*, and* $\mathbf{j}' = (j'_1, \dots, j'_{r+1}) \in \mathbf{J}_{r+1}$ *where* $\{j'_{\nu_1}, \dots, j'_{\nu_s}\} = \{j'_1, \dots, j'_{r+1}\} \backslash \{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$*, and, for each* $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_r$*,* $\mathbf{j}' \in \mathbf{J}_{r+1}$*, and for a permutation* $\sigma \in S_{r+1}$*, the elements* $d_{\mathbf{i};j'_{\sigma(1)}, \dots j_{\sigma(r+1)'}}$ *means* $\epsilon(\sigma)d_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}'}$ *where* $\epsilon(\sigma)$ *is the parity of* σ*.*

Remark. The element $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(\overline{x}_k)$ in (2) (and the element $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(x_k)$ in (4)) means $(-1)^{r+1+s}$ $\Delta(\mathbf{i}; j_1, \dots, \widehat{j_s}, \dots, j_{r+1})$ if $k = j_s$ for some s where $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_{r+1})$, and zero otherwise.

The algebra A is the algebra of regular functions on the irreducible affine algebraic variety $X = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$, therefore we have the explicit algebra generators for the ring of differential operators $\mathcal{D}(X) = \mathcal{D}(A)$ on an arbitrary smooth irreducible affine algebraic variety X. Any regular affine algebra A' is a finite direct product of regular affine domains, $A' = \prod_{i=1}^{s} A_i$. *Since* $\mathcal{D}(A') \simeq \prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathcal{D}(A_i)$*, Theorem 1.2 gives algebra generators and defining relations for the ring of differential operators on arbitrary smooth affine algebraic variety. Since* $\mathrm{Der}_K(A') \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{Der}_K(A_i)$*, Theorem 1.1 gives generators and defining relations for the left* A'*-module of derivations* $\mathrm{Der}_K(A')$.

Recall an important criterion of regularity for the algebra A via properties of the **derivation** algebra $\Delta(A)$, it is a subalgebra of the ring of differential operators $\mathcal{D}(A)$ generated by the algebra A and the derivations $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)$.

Theorem 1.3 *(Criterion of regularity via* $\Delta(A)$*, [6], 15.3.8) The following statements are equivalent.*

1. *A is a regular algebra.*
2. *$\Delta(A)$ is a simple algebra.*
3. *A is a simple $\Delta(A)$-module.*

In the general case (when A is not necessarily regular) the A-module $\mathrm{der}_K(A) := \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_r, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{r+1}} A\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ is called the A-module of **natural derivations** of A. The algebra of **natural differential operators** $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ generated by A and $\mathrm{der}_K(A)$. It is always a (left and right) Noetherian algebra of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension $2\mathrm{Kdim}(A)$ (Lemma 3.9). The left A-module $\mathrm{der}_K(A)$ and the algebra $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ *do not* depend on the presentation of the algebra A as a factor algebra P_n/I (Theorem 2.2). Clearly, $\mathfrak{D}(A) \subseteq \Delta(A) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A)$. In general, the inclusions are strict (*eg*, for the cusp $y^2 = x^3$). If the algebra A is *regular* then $\mathfrak{D}(A) = \Delta(A) = \mathcal{D}(A)$ (Theorem 1.2). The next result is a similar criterion of regularity as Theorem 1.3 but using properties of the algebra $\mathfrak{D}(A)$. It is a practical criterion as we know explicitly the generators of the algebra $\mathfrak{D}(A)$.

Theorem 1.4 *(Criterion of regularity via* $\mathfrak{D}(A)$*) The following statements are equivalent.*

1. A is a regular algebra.

2. $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ is a simple algebra.

3. A is a simple $\mathfrak{D}(A)$-module.

Remark. It is an interesting fact that the coefficients in the defining relations (1) and the coefficients of the ∂_{j_ν} when one expands the determinant $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ are generators for the Jacobian ideal $\mathfrak{a}_r$ that determines the *singular locus* of the variety $X = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$.

If the algebra A is *regular* then the ring of differential operators $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is a finitely generated Noetherian algebra. If A is *not* regular then, in general, the algebra $\mathcal{D}(A)$ need not be a finitely generated algebra nor a left or right Noetherian algebra, [2], the algebra $\mathcal{D}(A)$ can be finitely generated and right Noetherian yet not left Noetherian, [7]. Though, a kind of finiteness still hold for a *singular* algebra A.

Theorem 1.5 *Let $\mathcal{D}(A) = \cup_{i\geq 0}\mathcal{D}(A)_i$ be the order filtration of $\mathcal{D}(A)$. Then, for each $i \geq 0$, $\mathcal{D}(A)_i$ is a finitely generated left A-module.*

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains technical results that are used throughout the paper. The first parts of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are proved (Theorem 2.5). It is shown that the module of natural derivations $\mathrm{der}_K(A)$ of A and the ring $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ of natural differential operators on A are *invariants* for the algebra A, i.e. they do not depend on the choice of generators for the ideal I and on the choice of a presentation of the algebra A as the factor algebra P_n/I (Theorem 2.2). Similar results are true for the modules of 'higher' natural derivations $\mathrm{der}_K(A)_s$, $s = 1, \dots, r$ (Theorem 2.18). Theorem 2.12 describes explicitly the A-module of derivations $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)$. It is proved that the *tangent space* $T(X)_x := \mathrm{Der}_K(A_\mathfrak{m}, k_\mathfrak{m})$ at a *simple* point $x = x_\mathfrak{m}$ of the variety $X = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathrm{Der}_K(A_\mathfrak{m})/\mathfrak{m}\mathrm{Der}_K(A_\mathfrak{m})$ (Corollary 2.17), and that for a singular point, in general, this is not the case (even in the case of an isolated singularity, the cusp, $y^2 = x^3$ at $x = y = 0$).

In Section 3, Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.4 are proved. As a byproduct, a short elementary direct proof is given of the fact that the algebra $\mathcal{D}(A)$ of differential operators on a regular algebra A is a *simple Noetherian* algebra generated by A and $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)$ (Theorem 3.7). For an arbitrary (not necessarily regular) algebra A, the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension $\mathrm{GK}\,(\mathfrak{D}(A)) = 2\mathrm{Kdim}(A)$ (the Krull dimension) and the (left and right) Krull dimension $\mathrm{Kdim}(A) \leq \mathrm{Kdim}(\mathfrak{D}(A)) \leq 2\mathrm{Kdim}(A)$ (Lemma 3.9).

In Section 4, the same results as Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 1.4 are proved but for regular algebras of essentially finite type (Theorems 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4).

In Section 5, Theorem 1.5 is proved (Proposition 5.3).

2 Generators for the ring of differential operators on a smooth irreducible affine algebraic variety

In this section, the A-module of natural derivations $\mathrm{der}_K(A)$ and the ring of natural differential operators $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ will be introduced. Their definitions are given for a presentation of the algebra A as a factor algebra P_n/I and for a set of generators of the ideal I. It will be proved that, in fact, $\mathrm{der}_K(A)$ and $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ are invariants for the algebra A (they do not depend on the presentation and on the choice of generators, Theorem 2.2). Similar results are true for *higher* natural derivations $\mathrm{der}_K(A)_s$ that will be introduced at the end of this section.

Let B be a commutative K-algebra. The ring of (K-linear) **differential operators** $\mathcal{D}(B)$ on B is defined as a union of B-modules $\mathcal{D}(B) = \cup_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}_i(B)$ where $\mathcal{D}_0(B) = \mathrm{End}_R(B) \simeq B$, $((x \mapsto bx) \leftrightarrow b)$,

$$\mathcal{D}_i(B) = \{u \in \mathrm{End}_K(B) : \ [r, u] := ru - ur \in \mathcal{D}_{i-1}(B) \text{ for each } \ r \in B\}.$$

The set of B-modules $\{\mathcal{D}_i(B)\}$ is the **order filtration** for the algebra $\mathcal{D}(B)$:

$$\mathcal{D}_0(B) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_1(B) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{D}_i(B) \subseteq \cdots \ \text{ and } \ \mathcal{D}_i(B)\mathcal{D}_j(B) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{i+j}(B), \ \ i, j \geq 0.$$

The subalgebra $\Delta(B)$ of $\mathcal{D}(B)$ generated by $B \equiv \mathrm{End}_R(B)$ and by the set $\mathrm{Der}_K(B)$ of all K-derivations of B is called the **derivation ring** of B.

Suppose that B is a *regular affine domain* of Krull dimension $n < \infty$. In geometric terms, B is the coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}(X)$ of a smooth irreducible affine algebraic variety X of dimension n. Then

- $\mathrm{Der}_K(B)$ *is a finitely generated projective* B*-module of rank* n,
- $\mathcal{D}(B) = \Delta(B)$,
- $\mathcal{D}(B)$ *is a simple (left and right) Noetherian domain with Gelfand-Kirillov dimension* $\mathrm{GK}\ \mathcal{D}(B) = 2n$ $(n = \mathrm{GK}\,(B) = \mathrm{Kdim}(B))$.

For the proofs of the statements above the reader is referred to [6], Chapter 15. So, the domain $\mathcal{D}(B)$ is a simple finitely generated infinite dimensional Noetherian algebra ([6], Chapter 15).

The *gradient* map $\nabla = (\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n) : P_n \to P_n^n$, $p \mapsto (\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_n})$ satisfies the following property: $\nabla(pq) = \nabla(p)q + p\nabla(q)$ for all $p, q \in P_n$. It follows that $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_I := (\nabla I + IP_n^n)/IP_n^n$ is the A-submodule of A^n generated by the rows of the Jacobi matrix $\overline{J}$. The A-module $\mathcal{R}_I$ is the *module of relations* for the module of **Kähler differentials** Ω_A: $0 \to \mathcal{R}_I \xrightarrow{i} A^n \to \Omega_A \to 0$ is the short exact sequence of A-modules where i is the natural inclusion. The rank $r = \mathrm{rk}_Q(\overline{\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}})$ of the Jacobi matrix over the field Q is equal to $\dim_Q(Q\mathcal{R}_I)$. $A^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Ae_i \subseteq Q^n := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Qe_i$ where $e_{i_1} = (1, 0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, e_n = (0, \ldots, 0, 1)$. Inclusions of A-modules $\mathcal{R} \subseteq A^n \subseteq Q^n$ induce A-module homomorphisms of the exterior algebras over A: $\bigwedge^* \mathcal{R} \to \bigwedge^* A^n \subseteq \bigwedge^* Q^n$. For each $k = 1, \ldots, n$ and $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$, let $p_{i_1,\ldots,i_k} : \bigwedge^k \mathcal{R} \to A$ be the composition of two maps: $\bigwedge^k \mathcal{R} \to \bigwedge^k A^n \to \bigwedge^k Q^n$ and the natural projection map $\bigwedge^k Q^n \to Qe_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k} \simeq Q$. Then $\mathfrak{a}_k := \sum_{1\leq i_1<\cdots<i_k\leq n} p_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}(\bigwedge^k \mathcal{R})$ is an ideal of A. *So, the ideal* $\mathfrak{a}_k$ *is generated by all the* $k \times k$ *minors of the Jacobi matrix* $(\overline{\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}})$ *and does not depend on the choice of the generators of the ideal* I. In particular $\mathfrak{a}_{r+1} = \cdots = \mathfrak{a}_n = 0$, $\mathfrak{a}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{a}_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathfrak{a}_r \neq 0$, $\mathfrak{a}_i\mathfrak{a}_j \supseteq \mathfrak{a}_{i+j}$ for all i, j. In particular, $\mathfrak{a}_1^i \supseteq \mathfrak{a}_i$ for all i. The ideals $\mathfrak{a}_i$ are called the **Jacobian ideals** for the algebra A. It is well known that that Jacobian ideals $\mathfrak{a}_r, \mathfrak{a}_{r-1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{a}_1$ are *invariant* of the algebra $A = P_n/I$ in the sense that if $\sigma : A \simeq P_{n'}/I'$ is another presentation for the algebra A and $\mathfrak{a}'_{r'}, \mathfrak{a}'_{r'-1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{a}'_1$ are the corresponding Jacobian ideals then $\sigma(\mathfrak{a}_r) = \mathfrak{a}'_{r'}, \sigma(\mathfrak{a}_{r-1}) = \mathfrak{a}'_{r'-1}, \ldots$ ([3], p. 405). This is true because the Jacobian ideals are the **Fitting ideals** for the module of Kähler differentials Ω_A: $0 \to \mathcal{R}_I \xrightarrow{i} A^n \to \Omega_A \to 0$, it is well known that the Fitting ideals are invariants of a finitely presented module ([4], see also [3], 20.4).

Given an $n\times n$ matrix $B = (B_{ij})$ with entries from an arbitrary field, $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq n$ and $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_r \leq n$. The determinant of the $r \times r$ matrix (b_{ij}) where $i = i_1, \ldots, i_r$ and $j = j_1 \ldots, j_r$ is called the *minor* of the matrix B denoted $\Delta(i_1, \ldots, i_r; j_1 \ldots, j_r)$. The $n \times n$ matrix $\widetilde{B} = (\widetilde{b}_{ij})$, $\widetilde{b}_{ij} := (-1)^{i+j}\Delta(1, \ldots, \widehat{j}, \ldots, n; 1, \ldots, \widehat{i}, \ldots, n)$ is called the *adjoint matrix* to B or the *matrix of cofactors* of B where the hat over a symbol means that it is missed on the list. The adjoint matrix has the property that $\widetilde{B}B = B\widetilde{B} = \det(B)E$ where E is the identity matrix. In particular, $\det(B)\delta_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^n \widetilde{b}_{ik}b_{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik}\widetilde{b}_{kj}$ for all $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$, where $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker delta.

For each r-tuple $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_r)$ where $i_1, \ldots, i_r \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $(r + 1)$-tuple $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \ldots, j_{r+1})$ where $j_1, \ldots, j_{r+1} \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, consider the K-derivation of the polynomial algebra P_n given by the rule:

$$\delta_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} = \delta_{i_1,\ldots,i_r;j_1,\ldots,j_{r+1}} := \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{i_1}}{\partial x_{j_1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{i_1}}{\partial x_{j_{r+1}}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{i_r}}{\partial x_{j_1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{i_r}}{\partial x_{j_{r+1}}} \\ \partial_{j_1} & \cdots & \partial_{j_{r+1}} \end{pmatrix} \qquad (6)$$

where the determinant means

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} &= \sum_{k=1}^{r+1}(-1)^{r+1+k}\Delta(i_1, \ldots, i_r; j_1, \ldots, \widehat{j_k}, \ldots, j_{r+1})\partial_{j_k} \\ &= \Delta(i_1, \ldots, i_r; j_1, \ldots, j_r)\partial_{j_{r+1}} - \sum_{k=1}^{r} \Delta(i_1, \ldots, i_r; j_1, \ldots, j_{k-1}, j_{r+1}, j_{k+1}, \ldots, j_r)\partial_{j_k} \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \in \mathrm{Der}_K(P_n)$. The definition of $\delta_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ makes sense as the Krull dimension of the algebra A is $\mathrm{Kdim}(A) = n - r > 0$, and so $r < n$ and $r \leq m$. If the algebra A were a field then $\delta_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ would make no sense. Let S_r be the symmetric group of order r and $\epsilon(\sigma)$

be the sign of a permutation $\sigma \in S_r$. For $\sigma \in S_r$ and $\tau \in S_{r+1}$, $\delta_{i_{\sigma(1)},\dots,i_{\sigma(r)};j_{\tau(1)},\dots,j_{\tau(r+1)}} = \epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\tau)\delta_{i_1,\dots,i_r;j_1,\dots,j_{r+1}}$. Since (recall that r is the rank of the Jacobi matrix $\overline{J}$)

$$\delta_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(f_k) \equiv 0 \mod I, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \tag{7}$$

we have $\delta_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(I) \subseteq I$ for all $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$. So, each derivation $\delta_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ of the polynomial algebra P_n induces the K-derivation $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ of the algebra $A := P_n/I$ which is given by the rule $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(p+I) := \delta_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(p) + I$. One can write

$$\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} = \partial_{i_1,\dots,i_r;j_1,\dots,j_{r+1}} := \det \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_1}}{\partial x_{j_1}}} & \cdots & \overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_1}}{\partial x_{j_{r+1}}}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_r}}{\partial x_{j_1}}} & \cdots & \overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_r}}{\partial x_{j_{r+1}}}} \\ \partial_{j_1} & \cdots & \partial_{j_{r+1}} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

Example. Let $I = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ be an ideal of the polynomial algebra $P_n = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $r < n$. Then $A = P_n/I \simeq K[x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n]$ is a polynomial algebra and $\partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{i},k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$, $k = r+1, \dots, n$ where $\mathbf{i} := (1, \dots, r)$.

The next lemma is a result of linear algebra and an easy (but rather technical) consequence of the fact that r is the rank of the (Jacobi) matrix.

Lemma 2.1 $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_r$ *and* $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_r \Leftrightarrow \Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \neq 0$.

A proof will be given after Lemma 2.10. We do this in order to avoid unnecessary technicalities at this stage of the paper and to make clear what is really important in finding the generators for the ring of differential operators $\mathcal{D}(A)$.

It follows from the definition of the derivations $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ and Lemma 2.1 that $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} \neq 0$ iff $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_r$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{r+1}$.

Definition. For the algebra $A = P_n/I$ and a given set $f_1, \dots, f_m$ of generators for the ideal I, we denote by $\mathrm{der}_K(A)$ the A-submodule of $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)$ generated by all the derivations $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ (see (6)), then $\mathrm{der}_K(A) = \sum_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbf{I}_r,\mathbf{j}\in\mathbf{J}_{r+1}} A\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ (by Lemma 2.1). We call $\mathrm{der}_K(A)$ the set of **natural derivations** of A, and an element of $\mathrm{der}_K(A)$ is called a **natural derivation** of A. A derivation of A which is not natural is called an **exceptional derivation**, the left A-module $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)/\mathrm{der}_K(A)$ is called the **module of exceptional derivations**. The algebra of **natural differential operators** $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ is the subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ generated by A and $\mathrm{der}_K(A)$.

Example. For the cusp, $A = K[x,y]/(x^3 - y^2)$, we have $\mathrm{Der}_K(A) = A\delta + A\partial$ and $\mathrm{der}_K(A) = A\delta$ where $\delta := \det \begin{pmatrix} 3x^2 & -2y \\ \partial_x & \partial_y \end{pmatrix} = 2y\partial_x + 3x^2\partial_y$ and $\partial := xy^{-1}\delta = 2x\partial_x + 3y\partial_y$ (the Euler derivation). So, the Euler derivation ∂ is an exceptional derivation.

If the algebra A is regular then $\mathrm{der}_K(A) = \mathrm{Der}_K(A)$ (Theorems 1.1 and 2.5).

Question. Does $\mathrm{der}_K(A) = \mathrm{Der}_K(A)$ *imply that A is regular?*

The group $\mathrm{Aut}_K(A)$ of K-algebra automorphisms of the algebra A acts in a natural way (by changing generators) on the derivations $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)$, $\sigma(\delta) := \sigma \circ \delta \circ \sigma^{-1}$ for $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_K(A)$ and $\delta \in \mathrm{Der}_K(A)$.

The derivations $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ *depend* on the choice of generators of the ideal I. There are two natural questions: (1) *Does* $\mathrm{der}_K(A)$ *depend on the choice of generators for the ideal* I? (2) *Does* $\mathrm{der}_K(A)$ *depend on the presentation* P_n/I? (i.e. given a K-algebra isomorphism $\sigma : P_n/I \rightarrow P_{n'}/I'$, is $\sigma \mathrm{der}_K(P_n/I)\sigma^{-1} = \mathrm{der}_K(P_{n'}/I')$?) The next theorem gives affirmative answers to both questions, and so the module of natural derivations $\mathrm{der}_K(A)$ is an *invariant* of the algebra A.

Theorem 2.2 1. *The A-module* $\mathrm{der}_K(A)$ *does not depend on the choice of generators for the ideal I.*

2. *The set of natural derivations* $\mathrm{der}_K(A)$ *does not depend on the presentation of the algebra A as a factor algebra P_n/I.*

3. *The ring $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ of natural differential operators on A does not depend either on the choice of generators for the ideal I or on the presentation of the algebra A as a factor algebra P_n/I.*

Proof. 1. Given another set, say $g_1, \dots, g_l$, of generators for the ideal I. Let $\mathrm{der}_K(A)'$ be the corresponding submodule of $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)$ of g-natural derivations. Then $f_i = \sum_{k=1}^{l} a_{ik} g_k$ for some $a_{ik} \in P_n$, and so $\nabla f_i = \sum_{k=1}^{l} a_{ik} \nabla g_k (\mathrm{mod}\ I)$. For $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_{r+1})$ and f_i, let $\nabla_{\mathbf{j}} f_i := (\overline{\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_{j_1}}}, \dots, \overline{\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_{j_{r+1}}}}) = \sum_{i'=1}^{l} \overline{a}_{ii'} \nabla_{\mathbf{j}} g_{i'}$ and let $\nabla_{\mathbf{j}} := (\partial_{j_1}, \dots, \partial_{j_{r+1}})$. The derivations $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ can be written as the exterior product (the determinant) $\nabla_{\mathbf{j}} f_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \nabla_{\mathbf{j}} f_{i_r} \wedge \nabla_{\mathbf{j}}$, where $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$. Substituting the expression for each ∇f_{i_ν} in the product, we see that

$$\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}'} \det(a_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}'}) \partial'_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}} \qquad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{i}' = (i'_1, \dots, i'_r)$ runs through all the r-tuples such that $1 \leq i'_1 < \cdots < i'_r \leq l$, $a_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}'}$ is an $r \times r$ matrix with (ν, μ)-entry equal to $\overline{a_{i_\nu, i'_\mu}}$, and $\partial'_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}}$ is the derivation (6) for the second choice of generators. It follows that $\mathrm{der}_K(A) \subseteq \mathrm{der}_K(A)'$. By symmetry, the opposite inclusion is also true which proves that $\mathrm{der}_K(A) = \mathrm{der}_K(A)'$.

2. Given another presentation $P_{n'}/I'$ for the algebra A where $P_{n'} := K[x'_1, \dots, x'_{n'}]$. Fix a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P_{n+n'} \arrow[d] & = & P_n \otimes P_{n'} \arrow[d] \\
P_n \arrow[d] & & P_{n'} \arrow[d] \\
P_n/I & \simeq & P_{n'}/I'
\end{tikzcd}$$

The idea of the proof is to use the first statement. The LHS of the diagram gives a natural algebra isomorphism $P_{n+n'}/I'' \simeq P_n/I$ where $I'' := (f_1, \dots, f_m, x'_1 - g_1, \dots, x'_{n'} - g_{n'})$ for some polynomials $g_1, \ldots, g_{n'} \in P_n$. Set $x_{n+1} := x'_1, \ldots, x_{n+n'} := x'_{n'}$. Then $P_{n+n'} = K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n+n'}]$ and, for the presentation $A \simeq P_{n+n'}/I''$, the corresponding Jacobi matrix $\overline{J}'' \in M_{n+n'}(A)$ has the form $\begin{pmatrix} \overline{J} & 0 \\ * & E \end{pmatrix}$ where E is the identity $n' \times n'$ matrix. The rank of this matrix is $r+n'$. So, the canonical generators for the presentation $P_{n+n'}/I''$ has the form $\partial''_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} := \partial_{\mathbf{i},n+1,\ldots,n+n';\mathbf{j},n+1,\ldots,n+n'}$ where $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_r$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{r+1}$. The actions of the derivations $\partial''_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ and $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ on the generators $\overline{x}_1, \ldots, \overline{x}_n$ of the algebra $A = P_n/I = P_{n+n'}/I''$ coincide, therefore $\partial''_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} = \partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$, and so $\mathrm{der}_K(A) = \mathrm{der}_K(A)''$ (it is in fact a natural isomorphism). By symmetry, we have $\mathrm{der}_K(A)' \simeq \mathrm{der}_K(A)''$, and the result follows, where $\mathrm{der}_K(A)'$ and $\mathrm{der}_K(A)''$ are the natural derivations for the presentations $P_{n'}/I'$ and $P_{n+n'}/I''$ respectively.

3. Evident. $\square$

Corollary 2.3 *1. The set of natural derivations* $\mathrm{der}_K(A)$ *(as a subset of* $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)$*) is invariant under the action of the group* $\mathrm{Aut}_K(A)$ *(i.e.* $\mathrm{der}_K(A)$ *does not depend on the choice of generators for the algebra A induced by an automorphism).*

2. $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)/\mathrm{der}_K(A)$ *is an* $\mathrm{Aut}_K(A)$*-module.*

Clearly, $\mathrm{der}_K(A) \subseteq \mathrm{Der}_K(A, \mathfrak{a}_r) = \{\delta \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(A, \mathfrak{a}_r) \mid \delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + a\delta(b)$ for all $a, b \in A\}$.

Question. Which property (if any, i.e. $\mathrm{der}_K(A) = \mathrm{Der}_K(A, \mathfrak{a}_r)$*) does distinguish the set of natural derivations* $\mathrm{der}_K(A)$ *in the set* $\mathrm{Der}_K(A, \mathfrak{a}_r)$ *of all derivations from A to the Jacobian ideal $\mathfrak{a}_r$?*

Recall that $\mathrm{Der}_K(P_n) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P_n\partial_i$. For any derivation $\delta' \in \mathrm{Der}_K(A)$ one can find a derivation $\delta \in \mathrm{Der}_K(P_n)$ such that $\delta\pi = \pi\delta'$, equivalently $\delta(I) \subseteq I$ and $\delta'(\overline{p}) = \overline{\delta(p)}$ for all $p \in P_n$. Any derivation δ of the polynomial algebra P_n such that $\delta(I) \subseteq I$ induces the derivation δ' of the algebra A by the rule above. So,

$$\mathrm{Der}_K(A) = \mathrm{Der}_K(P_n/I) \simeq \frac{\{\delta \in \mathrm{Der}_K(P_n) :\ \delta(I) \subseteq I\}}{I\mathrm{Der}_K(P_n)} \simeq \{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\partial_i \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A\partial_i :\ \overline{J}a = 0\}, \tag{10}$$

where $\overline{J} = (\overline{\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}})$ is the Jacobi matrix and $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)^T$ is a vector column.

Proposition 2.4 *1.* $\Delta(i_1, \ldots, i_r; j_1, \ldots, j_r)\mathrm{Der}_K(A) \subseteq \sum_k A\partial_{i_1,\ldots,i_r;j_1,\ldots,j_r,k}$ *for all* $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq m$ *and* $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_r \leq n$ *where k runs through the set* $\{1, \ldots, n\} \backslash \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}$. *If* $\Delta(i_1, \ldots, i_r; j_1, \ldots, j_r) \neq 0$ *then the sum above is the direct sum.*

2. $\mathfrak{a}_r\mathrm{Der}_K(A) \subseteq \mathrm{der}_K(A) \subseteq (\sum_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{a}_r\partial_i) \cap \mathrm{Der}_K(A)$.

Proof. 1. It suffices to prove the first statement in the case when $i_1 = 1, \ldots, i_r = r$ and $j_1 = 1, \ldots, j_r = r$. By (10), $\mathrm{Der}_K(A) = \{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\partial_i \mid \overline{J}a = 0\}$ where $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)^T \in A^n$ is a vector column. So, $\overline{J}a = 0$ is the system of m linear equations with unknowns $\{a_i\}$.

The first r equations of the system can be written in the matrix form as $Bb = -Cc$ where $B = (\overline{\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}})_{i,j=1,\dots,r}$, $C = (\overline{\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}})^{i=1,\dots,r}_{j=r+1,\dots,m}$, $b = (a_1, \dots, a_r)^T$, and $c = (a_{r+1}, \dots, a_n)^T$. Multiplying the equation $Bb = -Cc$ by the adjoint matrix $\widetilde{B} = (\widetilde{b}_{ij})$ of B one has $\det(B)b = \widetilde{B}Bb = -\widetilde{B}Cc$. In the matrix form, the derivation $\delta = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i\partial_i \in \mathrm{Der}_K(A)$ can be written as $\delta = \nabla_1 b + \nabla_2 c$ where $\nabla_1 := (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_r)$ and $\nabla_2 := (\partial_{r+1}, \dots, \partial_n)$ and the products are formal, that is no action of the derivations ∂_i is assumed here and till the end of the proof. This is done only to simplify notation in the rest of the proof that follows, but if the reader feels uncomfortable with this assumption then apply the transpose to the equalities below and then the coefficients are on the left from derivations and no assumption is needed. Let $\Delta = \Delta(1, \dots, r; 1, \dots, r)$ and $\mathbf{i} = (1, \dots, r)$. Then $\Delta = \det(B)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\delta &= \det(B)\delta = \nabla_1 \det(B)b + \nabla_2 \det(B)c = (\nabla_2 \det(B) - \nabla_1 \widetilde{B}C)c \\
&= \sum_{i=r+1}^{n} a_i(\det(B)\partial_i - \sum_{j,k=1}^{r} \widetilde{b}_{jk}\overline{\frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_i}}\partial_j) \\
&= \sum_{i=r+1}^{n} a_i(\Delta\partial_i - \sum_{j=1}^{r} \Delta(\mathbf{i}; 1, \dots, j-1, i, j+1, \dots, r)\partial_j) \\
&= \sum_{i=r+1}^{n} a_i((-1)^{r+1+r+1}\Delta\partial_i + \sum_{j=1}^{r}(-1)^{r+1+j}\Delta(\mathbf{i}; 1, \dots, \widehat{j}, \dots, r, i)\partial_j) \\
&= \sum_{i=r+1}^{n} a_i\partial_{1,\dots,r;1,\dots,r,i},
\end{aligned}$$

by (8), as required. If $\Delta \neq 0$ then, by (8), the sum in the first statement is direct (an alternative argument: the rank of the A-module $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)$ is $\mathrm{Kdim}(A) = n - r$, the sum above contains $n - r$ summands, so it must be a direct sum).

2. Since the set of all the nonzero minors $\Delta(i_1, \dots, i_r; j_1, \dots, j_r)$ is a generating set for the Jacobian ideal $\mathfrak{a}_r$, the second statement follows from the first. $\Box$

The following theorem proves the first parts of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Theorem 2.5 *Suppose that the algebra A is a regular algebra. Then*

1. $\mathrm{Der}_K(A) = \mathrm{der}_K(A)$.

2. *The algebra of differential operators $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is generated by the algebra A and the derivations $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$, $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_r$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{r+1}$.*

Proof. 1. The algebra A is regular iff the Jacobian ideal $\mathfrak{a}_r$ is equal to A. So, statement 1 follows immediately from Proposition 2.4.(2): $\mathrm{Der}_K(A) \subseteq \mathrm{der}_K(A) \subseteq \mathrm{Der}_K(A)$.

2. It is a well-known fact that for a regular affine commutative algebra A, the algebra of (K-linear) differential operators $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is generated by A and $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)$ (see [6], 15.5.6, and also Theorem 3.7). $\Box$

As a corollary of Proposition 2.4 we have a short direct proof of the following well-known result [6], 15.2.11.

Corollary 2.6 *Suppose that the algebra $A = P_n/I$ is a regular algebra. Then* $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)$ *is a projective left A-module.*

Proof. Let $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_l$ be all the nonzero minors from Proposition 2.4.(1) and, for each $i = 1, \dots, l$, $\Delta_i \mathrm{Der}_K(A) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_i := \sum_k A\partial_{i_1,\dots,i_k;j_1,\dots,j_r,k} \simeq A^{n-r}$ be the corresponding inclusions. Denote by Δ the diagonal map $\mathrm{Der}_K(A) \to \mathcal{P} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \mathcal{P}_i$, $\delta \mapsto (\Delta_1\delta, \dots, \Delta_l\delta)$. The minors $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_l$ are generators for the Jacobian ideal $\mathfrak{a}_r$ which is equal to A since the algebra A is regular. So, $1 = \sum_{i=1}^l a_i\Delta_i$ for some elements $a_i \in A$. The module $\mathcal{P}$ is free (isomorphic to $A^{l(n-r)}$) and the A-homomorphism $\gamma : \mathcal{P} \to \mathrm{Der}_K(A)$, $(u_1, \dots, u_l) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^l a_i u_i$ satisfies $\gamma\Delta = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Der}_K(A)}$, the identity map. Hence the A-module $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)$ is a direct summand of the free A-module $\mathcal{P}$, therefore it is a projective A-module. $\Box$

Lemma 2.7 $\mathrm{der}_K(A)(A) := \sum_{\mathbf{i}\in \mathbf{I}_r, \mathbf{j}\in\mathbf{J}_{r+1}} A\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(A) = \mathfrak{a}_r$.

Proof. Denote by Σ the sum. By Proposition 2.4.(2), $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_r$. The nonzero minors $\Delta(i_1, \dots, i_r; j_1, \dots, j_r)$ form a generating set for the ideal $\mathfrak{a}_r$. Since $\partial_{i_1,\dots,i_r;j_1,\dots,j_r,k}(\overline{x}_k) = \Delta(i_1, \dots, i_r; j_1, \dots, j_r)$ for any $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}\backslash\{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$, it follows that $\mathfrak{a}_r \subseteq \Sigma$, hence $\Sigma = \mathfrak{a}_r$. $\Box$

A combination of Lemma 2.7 with Theorem 2.2 gives a short proof that the Jacobian ideal $\mathfrak{a}_r$ is invariant for the algebra A.

Corollary 2.8 *Given another presentation, say $P_{n'}/I'$, for the algebra $A = P_n/I$ and let $\mathfrak{a}_{r'}$ be the corresponding Jacobian ideal. Then $\mathfrak{a}_{r'} = \sigma(\mathfrak{a}_r)$ where $\sigma : A \to P_{n'}/I'$ is an algebra isomorphism.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, $\mathrm{der}_K(P_{n'}/I') = \sigma \mathrm{der}_K(A)\sigma^{-1}$. By Lemma 2.7, $\sigma(\mathfrak{a}_r) = \sigma(\mathrm{der}_K(A)(A)) = \sigma\mathrm{der}_K(A)\sigma^{-1}\sigma(A) = \mathrm{der}_K(P_{n'}/I')(P_{n'}/I') = \mathfrak{a}_{r'}$. $\Box$

The Jacobian ideal $\mathfrak{a}_r$ defines the *singular locus* of the algebra A in the sense that a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of A contains $\mathfrak{a}_r$ iff $A_\mathfrak{p}$ is not a regular local ring ([3], 16.20).

The next result shows that natural derivations *detect* singularities.

Corollary 2.9 *Suppose, in addition, that the field K is algebraically closed, let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a maximal ideal of A. Then $\mathfrak{a}_r \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{der}(A)(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$.*

Proof. If $\mathfrak{a}_r \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ then, by Lemma 2.7, $\mathrm{der}(A)(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \mathrm{der}(A)(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_r \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$.

If $\mathfrak{a}_r \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ then $\Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \not\in \mathfrak{m}$ for some $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_r)$ and $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j},j_{r+1}}(\overline{x}_{j_{r+1}} - \lambda) = \partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j},j_{r+1}}(\overline{x}_{j_{r+1}}) = \Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \not\in \mathfrak{m}$ where $\lambda \in K$ satisfies $\overline{x}_{j_{r+1}} - \lambda \in \mathfrak{m}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{der}(A)(\mathfrak{m}) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}$. $\Box$

From this moment ant till the end of Lemma 2.10, we will not use Lemma 2.1.

For the algebra $A = P_n/I$, a set $\underline{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_r}}$ is called a **non-singular** set if there exit elements, say $g_1, \dots, g_r \in I$, such that $\det(\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_{j_k}}) \neq 0$ in A where $i, k = 1, \dots, r$. In this case we say that the indices $j_1, \dots, j_r$ and the set $g_1, \dots, g_r$ are *non-singular*.

Lemma 2.10 *Suppose that* $x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_r}$ *is a non-singular set, i.e.* $\det(\overline{\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_{j_k}}}) \neq 0$ *for some polynomials* $g_1, \dots, g_r \in I$. *Then* $x_{k_1}. \dots, x_{k_r}$ *is a non-singular set iff* $\det(\overline{\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_{k_\nu}}}) \neq 0$.

Proof. $x_{k_1}, \dots, x_{k_r}$ is a non-singular set iff $\det(\overline{\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial x_{k_\nu}}}) \neq 0$ in A for some polynomials $h_1, \dots, h_r \in I$ where $\nu = 1, \dots, r$. The $r \times r$ matrices $G := (\overline{\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_{j_\nu}}})$, $H := (\overline{\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial x_{k_\nu}}}) \in M_r(Q)$ are invertible, hence the two sets of vectors $G_i = (\overline{\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_1}}, \dots, \overline{\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_n}})$, $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $H_i = (\overline{\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial x_1}}, \dots, \overline{\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial x_n}})$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, of the vector space Q^n (over the field Q) are Q-linear independent, and are bases for the r-dimensional Q-vector space $V_I \subseteq Q^n$ generated by the elements $(\overline{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}}, \dots, \overline{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}})$, $f \in I$. So, there exists an invertible matrix, say $B \in \mathrm{GL_r(Q)}$, such that $B \begin{pmatrix} G_1 \\ \vdots \\ G_r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H_1 \\ \vdots \\ H_r \end{pmatrix}$. Let $H' := (\overline{\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial x_{j_\nu}}})$, $G' := (\overline{\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_{k_\nu}}}) \in M_r(Q)$. Then $BG' = H$ and $BG = H'$, and so the matrices H' and G' are invertible, and the result follows. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Given $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_r$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_r$, then $\Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}') \neq 0$ and $\Delta(\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}) \neq 0$ for some $\mathbf{i}'$ and $\mathbf{j}'$. Then, by Lemma 2.10, $\Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \neq 0$.

If $\Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \neq 0$ then obviously $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_r$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_r$. So, $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_r$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_r$ iff $\Delta(\mathbf{i}\,\mathbf{j}) \neq 0$. $\square$

Given $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_r) \in \mathbf{I}_r$ and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_r) \in \mathbf{J}_r$, then $\Delta = \Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \neq 0$. For each $j = 1, \dots, n$, consider the vector-column

$$v_{\mathbf{i},j} \equiv v_{i_1, \dots, i_r; j} := (\overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_1}}{\partial x_j}}, \dots, \overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_r}}{\partial x_j}})^T \in Q^r = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r Q e_i = \bigoplus_{\nu=1}^r Q v_{\mathbf{i}, j_\nu} = \sum_{k=1}^n Q v_{\mathbf{i},k}.$$

Clearly, $v_{\mathbf{i},j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{\mathbf{i},j_r} = \Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_r$. For each $\nu = 1, \dots, r$, omitting $v_{\mathbf{i},j_\nu}$ in the exterior product, i.e. $v_{\mathbf{i},j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge (\cdot) \wedge \dots \wedge v_{\mathbf{i},j_r} = \Delta_{\mathbf{i},j_\nu}(\cdot) e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_r$, gives the Q-linear map

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{i},j_\nu} : Q^r \to Q, \;\; q = \sum_{i=1}^r q_i e_i \mapsto \det \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_1}}{\partial x_{j_1}}} & \cdots & \overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_1}}{\partial x_{j_{\nu-1}}}} & q_1 & \overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_1}}{\partial x_{j_{\nu+1}}}} & \cdots & \overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_1}}{\partial x_{j_r}}} \\ & & & \cdots & & & \\ \overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_r}}{\partial x_{j_1}}} & \cdots & \overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_r}}{\partial x_{j_{\nu-1}}}} & q_r & \overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_r}}{\partial x_{j_{\nu+1}}}} & \cdots & \overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_r}}{\partial x_{j_r}}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Clearly, $\Delta^{-1} \Delta_{\mathbf{i},j_\nu}(v_{\mathbf{i},j_\mu}) = \delta_{\nu,\mu}$ for all $\nu, \mu = 1, \dots, r$. The set of linear maps $\Delta^{-1} \Delta_{\mathbf{i},j_\nu} : Q^r \to Q$, $\nu = 1, \dots, r$ is the dual basis to the basis $v_{\mathbf{i},j_1}, \dots, v_{\mathbf{i},j_r}$ for the vector space Q^r. So, the Q-linear map $\Delta^{-1} \Delta_{\mathbf{i},j_\nu}(\cdot) v_{\mathbf{i},j_\nu} : Q^r = \bigoplus_{\mu=1}^r Q v_{\mathbf{i},j_\mu} \to Q v_{\mathbf{i},j_\nu}$ is the *projection* onto the ν'th direct summand, hence the identity map $\mathrm{id} = \mathrm{id}_{Q^r}$ has the following presentation

$$\mathrm{id} = \Delta^{-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^r \Delta_{\mathbf{i},j_\nu}(\cdot) v_{\mathbf{i},j_\nu}. \tag{11}$$

If $\mathbf{j}' = (j_1', \dots, j_r')$ is another non-singular set of indices then, by Lemma 2.10, $\Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}') \neq 0$. $v := (v_{\mathbf{i},j_1}, \dots, v_{\mathbf{i},j_r})$ and $v' := (v_{\mathbf{i},j_1'}, \dots, v_{\mathbf{i},j_r'})$ are bases for the vector space Q^r. Using (11), the change-of-basis matrix ($v' = vH_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}')$) is equal to $H_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}') = \Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})^{-1}(\Delta_{\mathbf{i},j_\nu}(v_{\mathbf{i},j'_\mu}))$, the (ν,μ)-entry of it is

$$\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})^{-1}\Delta_{\mathbf{i},j_\nu}(v_{\mathbf{i},j'_\mu})) = \Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})^{-1}\Delta(\mathbf{i}; j_1,\dots,j_{\nu-1},j'_\mu,j_{\nu+1},\dots,j_r).$$

Note that each element of the matrix $H_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}')$ is the ratio of two elements from the canonical set of generators for the ideal $\mathfrak{a}_r$. Since r is the rank of the Jacobi matrix $\overline{J}$, the matrix $H_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}')$ *does not depend on* $\mathbf{i}$, i.e. $H_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}') = H_{\mathbf{i}'}(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}')$ for all non-singular $\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}'$.

Similarly, $v = v'H(\mathbf{j}',\mathbf{j})$ where $H(\mathbf{j}',\mathbf{j}) = \Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}')(\Delta_{\mathbf{i},j'_\nu}(v_{\mathbf{i},j_\mu}))$, the (ν,μ)-entry of the matrix is $\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}')^{-1}\Delta_{\mathbf{i},j'_\nu}(v_{\mathbf{i},j_\mu})) = \Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}')^{-1}\Delta(\mathbf{i},j'_1,\dots,j'_{\nu-1},j_\mu,j'_{\nu+1},\dots,j'_r)$.

Clearly, $H(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}')^{-1} = H(\mathbf{j}',\mathbf{j})$ or, equivalently,

$$(\Delta_{\mathbf{i},j'_\nu}(v_{\mathbf{i},j_\mu}))(\Delta_{\mathbf{i},j_\nu}(v_{\mathbf{i},j'_\mu})) = \Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}')E$$

where E is the identity matrix. Equating the determinants of both sides one gets

$$\det(\Delta_{\mathbf{i},j'_\nu}(v_{\mathbf{i},j_\mu}))\det(\Delta_{\mathbf{i},j_\nu}(v_{\mathbf{i},j'_\mu})) = (\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}'))^r.$$

Clearly, $H(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}')H(\mathbf{j}',\mathbf{j}'') = H(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}'')$ for all non-singular $\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}',\mathbf{j}''$.

If $\Delta_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}} \neq 0$ for some $\mathbf{i}' = (i'_1 \dots, i'_r)$ then $\Delta(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}') \neq 0$ (Lemma 2.10). For each $i = 1,\dots,m$, let $F_i := (\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1},\dots,\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}) \in Q^n$. In the proof of Lemma 2.10, we have seen that the sets $F_{i_1},\dots F_{i_r}$ and $F_{i'_1},\dots F_{i'_r}$ are bases for the Q-vector space $V_I := \sum_{i=1}^m QF_i \subseteq Q^n$. Let $V(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{i}) \in \mathrm{GL}_r(Q)$ be the change-of-basis matrix written in the 'vertical' form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_{i'_1} \\ \vdots \\ F_{i'_r} \end{pmatrix} = V(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{i}) \begin{pmatrix} F_{i_1} \\ \vdots \\ F_{i_r} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, $V(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{i})^{-1} = V(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}')$ and $V(\mathbf{i}'',\mathbf{i}')V(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{i}) = V(\mathbf{i}'',\mathbf{i})$ for all non-singular $\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{i}''$. Let

$$\overline{J}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) = \overline{J}(i_1,\dots,i_r;j_1,\dots,j_r) := (\overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_k}}{\partial x_{j_l}}}) \in M_r(A), \quad k,l = 1,\dots,r.$$

Then

$$\overline{J}(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}') = V(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{i})\overline{J}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})H(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}') \tag{12}$$

for all non-singular $\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}'$. Since $H(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}) = E$ and $V(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}) = E$, it follows from (12) that

$$V(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{i}) = \overline{J}(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j})\overline{J}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})^{-1} \text{ and } H(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}') = \overline{J}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})^{-1}\overline{J}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}'), \tag{13}$$

for all non-singular $\mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{i}'$, $\mathbf{j}$, $\mathbf{j}'$. Taking the determinant of both sides of (12), we have the equality

$$\Delta(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}') = \det(V(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{i}))\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})\det(H(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}')). \tag{14}$$

Finding the determinant of the matrices $V(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{i})$ and $H(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}')$ using (13) and then substituting them in (14) we find that

$$\Delta(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}')\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) = \Delta(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j})\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}'). \tag{15}$$

Corollary 2.11 *For any* $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_r$ *and* $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_r$, $\mathfrak{a}_r = \sum_{\mathbf{i}' \in \mathbf{I}_r, \mathbf{j}' \in \mathbf{J}_r} A \det(V(\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{i}))\, \Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \det(H(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}'))$.

Proof. $\mathfrak{a}_r = \sum_{\mathbf{i}' \in \mathbf{I}_r, \mathbf{j}' \in \mathbf{J}_r} A\, \Delta(\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}')$, and the result follows from (14). □

Theorem 2.12 describes the derivations of an *arbitrary* (not necessarily regular) affine domain (which is not a field).

Theorem 2.12 *Given* $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in \mathbf{I}_r$ *and* $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \ldots, j_r) \in \mathbf{J}_r$, *let* $\{j_{r+1}, \ldots, j_n\} = \{1, \ldots, n\} \backslash \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}$. *Then*

1. $\mathrm{Der}_K(A) = \{\Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})^{-1} \sum_{k=r+1}^n a_{j_k} \partial_{i_1, \ldots, i_r; j_1, \ldots, j_r, j_k} \mid$ *where the elements* $a_{j_{r+1}}, \ldots, a_{j_n} \in A$ *satisfy the following system of inclusions:*

$$\sum_{k=r+1}^{n} \Delta(\mathbf{i}; j_1, \ldots, j_{\nu-1}, j_k, j_{\nu+1}, \ldots, j_r) a_{j_k} \in A\Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}), \quad \nu = 1, \ldots, r\}.$$

2. $\mathrm{Der}_K(A) = \{\sum_{k=r+1}^n a_{j_k} \partial_{j_k} - \Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})^{-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^r \Delta_{\mathbf{i}, j_\nu} (\sum_{k=r+1}^n a_{j_k} v_{\mathbf{i}, j_k}) \partial_{j_\nu} \mid$ *where the elements* $a_{j_{r+1}}, \ldots, a_{j_n} \in A$ *satisfy the following system of inclusions:*

$$\Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})^{-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} \Delta_{\mathbf{i}, j_\nu} (\sum_{k=r+1}^{n} a_{j_k} v_{\mathbf{i}, j_k}) \in A, \quad \nu = 1, \ldots, r\}.$$

The geometric meaning of these inclusions (in both statements) is that all the coordinates of the vector $\sum_{k=r+1}^n a_{j_k} v_{\mathbf{i}, j_k} \in \bigoplus_{\nu=1}^r Q v_{\mathbf{i}, j_\nu}$ *in the basis* $v_{\mathbf{i}, j_1}, \ldots, v_{\mathbf{i}, j_r}$ *belong to* A.

Proof. Let $\Delta = \Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})$ and $\delta \in \mathrm{Der}_K(A)$. Then $\Delta\delta = \sum_{k=r+1}^n a_{j_k} \partial_{i_1, \ldots, i_r; j_1, \ldots, j_r, j_k}$ (by Proposition 2.4) for unique elements $a_{j_k} \in A$. By (8),

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta &= \Delta^{-1}(\Delta \sum_{k=r+1}^{n} a_{j_k} \partial_{j_k} - \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} (\sum_{k=r+1}^{n} \Delta(\mathbf{i}; j_1, \ldots, j_{\nu-1}, j_k, j_{\nu+1}, \ldots, j_r) a_{j_k}) \partial_{j_\nu}) \\
&= \sum_{k=r+1}^{n} a_{j_k} \partial_{j_k} - \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} (\Delta^{-1} \sum_{k=r+1}^{n} \Delta(\mathbf{i}; j_1, \ldots, j_{\nu-1}, j_k, j_{\nu+1}, \ldots, j_r) a_{j_k}) \partial_{j_\nu} \\
&= \sum_{k=r+1}^{n} a_{j_k} \partial_{j_k} - \Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})^{-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} \Delta_{\mathbf{i}, j_\nu} (\sum_{k=r+1}^{n} a_{j_k} v_{\mathbf{i}, j_k}) \partial_{j_\nu}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, both statements are equivalent. Since $\delta \in \mathrm{Der}_K(A)$, $\delta(\overline{x}_{j_\nu}) \in A$ for all $\nu = 1, \ldots, r$. This proves the necessary conditions.

Conversely, suppose that the conditions of the theorem are satisfied for a derivation $\delta = \Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})^{-1} \sum_{k=r+1}^n a_{j_k} \partial_{i_1, \ldots, i_r; j_1, \ldots, j_r, j_k}$. Then $\delta \in \mathrm{Der}_K(A)$ since $\delta(\overline{x}_i) \in A$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. □

Remark. By (13), the system of inclusions in Theorem 2.12 is equivalent to the system of inclusions

$$\sum_{k=r+1}^{n} \det(H(\mathbf{j}; j_1, \ldots, j_{\nu-1}, j_k, j_{\nu+1}, \ldots, j_r))a_{j_k} \in A, \ \nu = 1, \ldots, r, \tag{16}$$

and it does *not* depend on $\mathbf{i}$. So, any r algebraically independent elements of the ideal I give the *same coefficients* of the above system. So, *any r algebraically independent elements of the ideal I 'determine' (in this way) the module of derivations* $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)$.

Corollary 2.13 $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)_\mathfrak{p} \simeq A_\mathfrak{p}^{n-r}$ *for each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of A such that $\mathfrak{a}_r \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{a}_r \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, there exists $\Delta = \Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \notin \mathfrak{p}$, and so Δ is a unit of the local ring $A_\mathfrak{p}$. Since $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)_\mathfrak{p} \simeq \mathrm{Der}_K(A_\mathfrak{p})$, then by Theorem 2.12.(i),

$$\mathrm{Der}_K(A_\mathfrak{p}) = \bigoplus_{k=r+1}^{n} A_\mathfrak{p} \partial_{i_1, \ldots, i_r; j_1, \ldots, j_r, j_k} \simeq A_\mathfrak{p}^{n-r}. \ \Box$$

Corollary 2.14 *The rank of the $r \times (n-r)$ matrix $(\Delta(\mathbf{i}, j_1, \ldots, j_{\nu-1}, j_k, j_{\nu+1}, \ldots, j_r))$, $\nu = 1, \ldots, r$; $k = r+1, \ldots, n$, in Theorem 2.12.(1) is equal to* $\dim_Q(\sum_{k=r+1}^{n} Qv_{\mathbf{i}, j_k})$ = *the rank of the $r \times (n-r)$ matrix $(\overline{\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_{j_k}}})$, $i = i_1, \ldots, i_r$; $k = r+1, \ldots, n$.*

Proof. By (11), the first matrix multiplied by Δ^{-1} (resp. the second matrix) in the corollary is the matrix of coordinates of the vectors $v_{\mathbf{i}, j_k}, k = r+1, \ldots, n$ in the basis $v_{\mathbf{i}, j_1}, \ldots, v_{\mathbf{i}, j_r}$ (resp. $e_1, \ldots, e_r$). Now, the result is evident. $\Box$

Clearly, a derivation δ of A is uniquely determined by its action on generators of the algebra A. For the polynomial algebra P_n one has a nice formula $\delta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta(x_i)\partial_i$. The next corollary gives a similar *explicit formula* for δ in general situation.

Corollary 2.15 *Any derivation $\delta \in \mathrm{Der}_K(A)$ of the algebra A is uniquely determined by its action on any subset of the canonical generators, say $\overline{x}_{j_{r+1}}, \ldots, \overline{x}_{j_n}$, that are complement to a non-singular subset of the canonical generators, say $\overline{x}_{j_1}, \ldots, \overline{x}_{j_r}$:*

$$\delta = \sum_{k=r+1}^{n} \delta(\overline{x}_{j_k})\partial_{j_k} - \Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})^{-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} \Delta_{\mathbf{i}, j_\nu}(\sum_{k=r+1}^{n} \delta(\overline{x}_{j_k}) v_{\mathbf{i}, j_k})\partial_{j_\nu}$$

where $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_r)$ is any element of $\mathbf{I}_r$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.12, $\delta = \sum_{k=r+1}^{n} a_{j_k}\partial_{j_k} - \Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})^{-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} \Delta_{\mathbf{i}, j_\nu}(\sum_{k=r+1}^{n} a_{j_k} v_{\mathbf{i}, j_k})\partial_{j_\nu}$ for unique elements $a_{j_k} \in A$. Clearly, $a_{j_k} = \delta(\overline{x}_{j_k})$, and the result follows. $\Box$

Corollary 2.16 *Keep the notation of Theorem 2.12. Given* $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}' \in \mathbf{I}_r$ *and* $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_r$*, then for each* $\nu = 1, \ldots, r$*:*

$$\Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})^{-1} \Delta_{\mathbf{i}, j_\nu}(\sum_{k=r+1}^{n} a_{j_k} v_{\mathbf{i}, j_k}) = \Delta(\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j})^{-1} \Delta_{\mathbf{i}', j_\nu}(\sum_{k=r+1}^{n} a_{j_k} v_{\mathbf{i}', j_k})$$

for all $a_{j_{r+1}}, \ldots, a_{j_n} \in Q$*.*

Proof. By linearity, it suffices to prove the equality for all elements $a_{j_{r+1}}, \ldots, a_{j_n} \in \Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})\Delta(\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j})A$. For any such a choice of the elements, they determine uniquely a derivation δ from Corollary 2.15 where $\delta(\overline{x}_{j_\nu}) = a_{j_\nu}$, $\nu = 1, \ldots, r$ (since they satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.12.(2)). We have the same derivation δ for each pair $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})$ and $(\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j})$. Now, comparing the coefficients of ∂_{j_ν} in two expressions for δ in Corollary 2.15 we finish the proof (see (10)). $\Box$

For a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of A, $k_\mathfrak{m} := A_\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}A_\mathfrak{m} \simeq A/\mathfrak{m}$ is the *residue* field for $\mathfrak{m}$. Note that $[k_\mathfrak{m} : K] < \infty$, so $k_\mathfrak{m}$ is a *finite separable* extension of the field K. $\mathrm{Der}_K(A_\mathfrak{m}, k_\mathfrak{m})$ is called the *tangent space* at the point $x = x_\mathfrak{m}$ of the algebraic variety $V = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ denoted $T(V)_x$. There is a canonical *isomorphism* of left $k_\mathfrak{m}$-modules

$$\mathrm{Der}_K(A_\mathfrak{m}, k_\mathfrak{m}) \to (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^* := \mathrm{Hom}_{k_\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2, k_\mathfrak{m}), \;\; \delta \mapsto (\overline{\delta} : a + \mathfrak{m}^2 \mapsto \delta(a) + \mathfrak{m}). \quad (17)$$

This fact is obvious if the field K is an algebraically closed field since then $A/\mathfrak{m}^2 = K \oplus \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$. If the field K is not necessarily algebraically closed then using the *Hensel's Lemma* and the fact that the ring $A/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is complete in the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic topology and $k_\mathfrak{m}$ is separable over K one can find an isomorphic copy of the field $k_\mathfrak{m}$ in $A/\mathfrak{m}^2$ so that $A/\mathfrak{m}^2 \simeq k_\mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$. Since $\delta(k_\mathfrak{m}) = 0$ as $k_\mathfrak{m}$ is separable over K, the result follows. The canonical epimorphism $A_\mathfrak{m} \to k_\mathfrak{m}$ yields the canonical $k_\mathfrak{m}$-homomorphism $\mathrm{Der}_K(A_\mathfrak{m}) \to \mathrm{Der}_K(A_\mathfrak{m}, k_\mathfrak{m})$. In combination with (17), we have the canonical $k_\mathfrak{m}$-module homomorphism

$$\frac{\mathrm{Der}_K(A_\mathfrak{m})}{\mathfrak{m}\mathrm{Der}_K(A_\mathfrak{m})} \to (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^*, \;\; \delta + \mathfrak{m}\mathrm{Der}_K(A_\mathfrak{m}) \mapsto (\overline{\delta} : a + \mathfrak{m}^2 \mapsto \delta(a) + \mathfrak{m}). \quad (18)$$

Corollary 2.17 *For any maximal ideal* $\mathfrak{m}$ *of* A *such that* $\mathfrak{a}_r \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}$*, the map (18) is an isomorphism (so, at a simple point* $x = x_\mathfrak{m}$ *of the variety* V *the tangent space* $T(V)_x$ *is canonically isomorphic to* $\mathrm{Der}_K(A_\mathfrak{m})/\mathfrak{m}\mathrm{Der}_K(A_\mathfrak{m})$*).*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{a}_r \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}$, there exists $\Delta = \Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \notin \mathfrak{m}$, and so Δ is a unit of the local ring $A_\mathfrak{m}$. Since $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)_\mathfrak{m} \simeq \mathrm{Der}_K(A_\mathfrak{m})$, then by Theorem 2.12.(1),

$$\mathrm{Der}_K(A_\mathfrak{m}) = \bigoplus_{k=r+1}^{n} A_\mathfrak{m} \partial_{i_1, \ldots, i_r; j_1, \ldots, j_r, j_k} \simeq A_\mathfrak{m}^{n-r},$$

and so $T' := \mathrm{Der}_K(A_\mathfrak{m})/\mathfrak{m}\mathrm{Der}_K(A_\mathfrak{m}) \simeq k_\mathfrak{m}^{n-r}$, hence $\dim_{k_\mathfrak{m}}(T') = n - r = \mathrm{Kdim}(A_\mathfrak{m}) = \dim_{k_\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^*$ since the point $x = x_\mathfrak{m}$ is simple. Since $\Delta^{-1}\partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},j_k}(\overline{x}_{j_l} + \mathfrak{m}^2) = \delta_{k,l} + \mathfrak{m}$ (the

Kronecker delta) where $k, l = r+1, \ldots, n$, the map $T' \to (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^*$ is injective, and so $T' \simeq (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^*$ as the vector spaces have the same dimension over $k_{\mathfrak{m}}$. $\Box$

Definition. For each maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of A such that $\mathfrak{a}_r \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$, let $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the *kernel*, the *image*, and the *cokernel* of the $k_{\mathfrak{m}}$-homomorphism (18), and we have the short exact sequence of $k_{\mathfrak{m}}$-modules:

$$0 \to \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{m}} \to \frac{\mathrm{Der}_K(A_{\mathfrak{m}})}{\mathfrak{m}\mathrm{Der}_K(A_{\mathfrak{m}})} \to (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^* \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{m}} \to 0. \tag{19}$$

The vector spaces involved in the short exact sequence and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ are important invariants of the singularity at $x = x_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

For each s such that $1 \leq s \leq r$, let

$$\mathfrak{Der}_K(A)_s := \{\delta \in \mathrm{Der}_K(A) \,|\, \delta(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_s\}.$$

Then

$$\mathrm{der}_K(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{Der}_K(A)_r \subseteq \mathfrak{Der}_K(A)_{r-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathfrak{Der}_K(A)_1 \subseteq \mathrm{Der}_K(A)$$

is the ascending chain of left A-modules such that

$$[\mathfrak{Der}_K(A)_s, \mathfrak{Der}_K(A)_s] \subseteq \mathfrak{Der}_K(A)_s$$

for each s. So, each $\mathfrak{Der}_K(A)_s$ is a Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)$. It follows immediately that each Lie algebra $\mathfrak{Der}_K(A)_s$ is an *invariant* for the algebra A (i.e. $\sigma \mathfrak{Der}_K(P_n/I)_s \sigma^{-1} = \mathfrak{Der}_K(P_{n'}/I')_s$).

For each s such that $1 \leq s \leq r$, let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_s)$ and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \ldots, j_{s+1})$ satisfy $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_s \leq m$ and $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_{s+1} \leq n$. Then

$$\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} = \partial_{i_1,\ldots,i_s;j_1,\ldots,j_{s+1}} := \det \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_1}}{\partial x_{j_1}}} & \cdots & \overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_1}}{\partial x_{j_{s+1}}}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_s}}{\partial x_{j_1}}} & \cdots & \overline{\frac{\partial f_{i_s}}{\partial x_{j_{s+1}}}} \\ \partial_{j_1} & \cdots & \partial_{j_{s+1}} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Der}_K(A, A/\mathfrak{a}_{s+1}) \tag{20}$$

where the bar means mod $\mathfrak{a}_{s+1}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_{r+1} := 0$.

Definition. For the algebra $A = P_n/I$ and a given set $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ of generators for the ideal I, and for each s such that $1 \leq s \leq r$, we denote by $\mathrm{der}_K(A)_s$ the left $A/\mathfrak{a}_{s+1}$-submodule of $\mathrm{Der}_K(A, A/\mathfrak{a}_{s+1})$ generated by all the derivations $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ from (20).

The derivations $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ *depend* on the choice of generators for the ideal I. The next theorem shows that the left $A/\mathfrak{a}_{s+1}$-module $\mathrm{der}_K(A)_s$ does not depend on the choice of generators for the ideal I and on the choice of presentation of the algebra A as the factor algebra P_n/I. We call $\mathrm{der}_K(A)_s$ an $A/\mathfrak{a}_{s+1}$-module of **natural derivations** from the algebra A to $A/\mathfrak{a}_{s+1}$. So, higher natural derivations, $\mathrm{der}_K(A)_s$, $1 \leq s \leq r$, are *invariants* for the algebra A.

Theorem 2.18 *For each s such that $1 \leq s \leq r$,*

1. *the* $A/\mathfrak{a}_{s+1}$*-module* $\mathrm{der}_K(A)_s$ *does not depend on the choice of generators for the ideal* I*, and*

2. *the* $A/\mathfrak{a}_{s+1}$*-module* $\mathrm{der}_K(A)_s$ *does not depend on the presentation of the algebra* A *as a factor algebra* P_n/I*.*

Proof. 1. Given another set, say $g_1, \ldots, g_l$, of generators for the ideal I. Let $\mathrm{der}_K(A)'_s$ be the corresponding $A/\mathfrak{a}_{s+1}$-submodule of $\mathrm{Der}_K(A, A/\mathfrak{a}_{s+1})$. Then $f_i = \sum_{k=1}^{l} a_{ik}g_k$ for some $a_{ik} \in P_n$. Repeating the arguments of the proof of Theorem 2.2.(1), we have, for the derivation $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ from (20), the equality

$$\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}'} \det(a_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}'})\partial'_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}} \tag{21}$$

where $\mathbf{i}' = (i'_1, \ldots, i'_s)$ runs through all the s-tuples such that $1 \leq i'_1 < \cdots < i'_s \leq l$, $a_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}'}$ is an $s \times s$ matrix with (ν, μ)-entry equal to $\overline{a_{i_\nu, i'_\mu}} \in A/\mathfrak{a}_{s+1}$, and $\partial'_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}}$ is the derivation (20) for the second choice of generators. It follows that $\mathrm{der}_K(A)_s \subseteq \mathrm{der}_K(A)'_s$. By symmetry, the opposite inclusion is also true which proves that $\mathrm{der}_K(A)_s = \mathrm{der}_K(A)'_s$.

2. The proof of this statement is similar to the proof of the second statement of Theorem 2.2, wee keep the notation from there. Given another presentation $P_{n'}/I'$ for the algebra A where $P_{n'} = K[x'_1, \ldots, x'_{n'}]$, etc. Then the canonical generators for $\mathrm{der}_K(P_{n+n'}/I'')_s$ (see the proof of Theorem 2.2.(2)) has the form $\partial''_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} := \partial_{\mathbf{i},n+1,\ldots,n+n';\mathbf{j},n+1,\ldots,n+n'}$ where $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_s)$ and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \ldots, j_{s+1})$. The actions of the derivations $\partial''_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ and $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ on the generators $\overline{x}_1, \ldots, \overline{x}_n$ of the algebra $A = P_n/I = P_{n+n'}/I''$ coincide, therefore $\partial''_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} = \partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$, and so $\mathrm{der}_K(A)_s \simeq \mathrm{der}_K(A)''_s$. By symmetry, we have $\mathrm{der}_K(A)'_s \simeq \mathrm{der}_K(A)''_s$, and the result follows, where $\mathrm{der}_K(A)'_s$ and $\mathrm{der}_K(A)''_s$ are the natural derivations for the presentations $P_{n'}/I'$ and $P_{n+n'}/I''$ respectively. $\square$

For each s such that $1 \leq s \leq r$, let $\overline{\mathfrak{der}}_K(A)_s$ be the image of the natural map

$$\mathfrak{der}_K(A)_s \to \mathrm{Der}_K(A, A/\mathfrak{a}_{s+1}), \delta \mapsto (a \mapsto \delta(a) + \mathfrak{a}_{s+1}).$$

Clearly, $\overline{\mathfrak{der}}_K(A)_s$ is a left $A/\mathfrak{a}_{s+1}$-submodule of $\mathrm{Der}_K(A, A/\mathfrak{a}_{s+1})$ and $\mathrm{der}_K(A)_s \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{der}}_K(A)_s$.

Question. When $\mathrm{der}_K(A)_s = \overline{\mathfrak{der}}_K(A)_s$?

Corollary 2.19 *For each* s *such that* $1 \leq s \leq r$*,*

1. $\mathrm{der}_K(A)_s(A) = \overline{\mathfrak{der}}_K(A)_s(A) = \mathfrak{a}_s/\mathfrak{a}_{s+1}$*,*

2. $\mathrm{der}_K(A)_s$ *and* $\overline{\mathfrak{der}}_K(A)_s$ *are invariant under the action of the group* $\mathrm{Aut}_K(A)$*.*

Proof. 1. Since $\partial_{i_1,\ldots,i_s;j_1,\ldots,j_s,k}(\overline{x}_k) = \Delta(i_1, \ldots, i_s; j_1, \ldots, j_s) + \mathfrak{a}_{s+1}$ we have $\mathfrak{a}_s/\mathfrak{a}_{s+1} = \mathrm{der}_K(A)_s(A) \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{der}}_K(A)_s(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_s/\mathfrak{a}_{s+1}$.

2. Since the Jacobian ideals are invariant under the action of the group $\mathrm{Aut}_K(A)$, the result for $\mathrm{der}_K(A)_s$ follows from Theorem 2.18 and for $\overline{\mathfrak{der}}_K(A)_s$ from the definition. $\square$

3 Defining relations for the ring of differential operators on a smooth irreducible affine algebraic variety

In this section, Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.4 will be proved. On the way, a short direct proof will be given of the fact that the algebra of differential operators on a regular affine domain is a simple Noetherian algebra generated by the algebra and its derivations (Theorem 3.7).

Theorem 3.1 *Let the algebra A be a regular algebra. Then $\mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbf{i}\in \mathbf{I}_r, \mathbf{j}\in \mathbf{J}_r} \mathcal{D}(A)_{\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})}$ is a left and right faithfully flat extension of algebras where $\mathcal{D}(A)_{\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})}$ is the localization of the algebra $\mathcal{D}(A)$ at the powers of the element $\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})$.*

Proof. The algebra A is regular, so $A=\mathfrak{a}_r=(\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}))$, hence the ideal of A generated by any power of the elements $\Delta_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ is also equal to A. The extension is a flat monomorphism. Suppose that the extension is not, say left faithful, then there exists a proper left ideal, say L, of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ such that $\prod_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} \mathcal{D}(A)_{\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})}\otimes_{\mathcal{D}(A)} (\mathcal{D}(A)/L)=0$, equivalently, there exists a sufficiently large natural number k such that $\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})^k\in L$ for all $\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}$. Since $A=(\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})^k)\subseteq L$, we must have $L=\mathcal{D}(A)$, a contradiction. $\square$

Let R be a (not necessarily commutative) algebra over a field K, and let δ be a K-derivation of the algebra R. For any elements $a,b\in R$ and a natural number n, an easy induction argument gives

$$\delta^n(ab)=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n}{i}\delta^i(a)\delta^{n-i}(b).$$

It follows that the kernel $C(\delta,R):=\ker\delta$ of δ is a subalgebra (of constants for δ) of R (since $\delta(ab)=\delta(a)b+a\delta(b)=0$ for $a,b\in C(\delta,R)$), and the union of the vector spaces $N(\delta,R)=\cup_{i\geq 0}N(\delta,i,R)$ is a positively filtered algebra (so-called, the *nil-algebra* of δ) where $N(\delta,i,R):=\{a\in R\,|\,\delta^{i+1}(a)=0\}$, that is

$$N(\delta,i,R)N(\delta,j,R)\subseteq N(\delta,i+j,R),\ \ \text{for all}\ \ i,j\geq 0.$$

Clearly, $N(\delta,0,R)=C(\delta,R)$ and $N(\delta,R):=\{a\in R\,|\ \delta^n(a)=0 \text{ for some natural } n\}$.

A K-derivation δ of the algebra R is a *locally nilpotent* derivation if for each element $a\in R$ there exists a natural number n such that $\delta^n(a)=0$. A K-derivation δ is locally nilpotent iff $R=N(\delta,R)$. A derivation of R of the type $\mathrm{ad}(r): x\mapsto [r,x]:=rx-xr$ is called an *inner* derivation of R where $r\in R$.

The *Zariski Lemma* is instrumental in dealing with derivations on complete local commutative rings.

Lemma 3.2 *(***Zariski Lemma***, [8]) Let C be a complete local commutative ring and d be a derivation of C sending a non-unit into a unit. Then $C=C_0[[x]]$ for some ring C_0 with $d(C_0)=0$.*

We need a noncommutative analogue of the Zariski Lemma (Lemma 3.3).

Given a ring R and its derivation d. The *Ore extension* $R[x;d]$ of R is a ring freely generated over R by x subject to the defining relations: $xr = rx + d(r)$ for all $r \in R$. $R[x;d] = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} Rx^i = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} x^i R$ is a left and right free R-module. Iterating the construction one gets an *iterated Ore extension* $R[x_1;d_1]\cdots[x_n;d_n]$. A particular kind of an iterated Ore extension will appear in the proof of Theorem 3.4: given a set *commuting* derivations $d_1,\ldots,d_n$ of the ring R, and a set commuting indeterminates $t_1,\ldots,t_n$, then $S := R[t_1,\ldots,t_n;d_1,\ldots,d_n]$ is a ring freely generated by R and (commuting) elements $t_1,\ldots,t_n$ subject to the defining relations: $t_i r = rt_i + d_i(r)$ for all $r \in R$ and $i = 1,\ldots,n$. $S = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} Rt^\alpha = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} t^\alpha R$ is a left and right free R-module. The ring S is Noetherian iff the ring R is so.

Lemma 3.3 *Let R be an algebra over a field K of characteristic zero and δ be a K-derivation of R such that $\delta(x) = 1$ for some $x \in R$. Then $N(\delta,R) = C(\delta,R)[x;d]$ is the Ore extension with coefficients from the subring $C(\delta,R) := \ker\delta$ of constants of the derivation δ, and the derivation d of the algebra $C(\delta,R)$ is the restriction of the inner derivation $\mathrm{ad}\, x$ of the algebra R to its subalgebra $C(\delta,R)$. For each $n \geq 0$, $N(\delta,n,R) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n C(\delta,R)x^i$.*

Proof. For each element $c \in C := C(\delta,R)$,

$$\delta([x,c]) = [\delta(x),c] + [x,\delta(c)] = [1,c] + [x,0] = 0,$$

thus $d(C) \subseteq C$, and d is a K-derivation of the algebra C.

First, we show that the K-subalgebra N' of $N := N(\delta,R)$ generated by C and x is the Ore extension $C[x;d]$. We have $N' = \sum_{i\geq 0} Cx^i$ since, for each $c \in C$, $xc - cx = d(c)$. So, it remains to prove that the sum $\sum_{i\geq 0} Cx^i$ of left C-modules is a direct sum. Suppose this is not the case, then there is a nontrivial relation of degree $n > 0$,

$$c_0 + c_1x + \cdots + c_nx^n = 0, \quad c_i \in C, \quad c_n \neq 0.$$

We may assume that the degree n of the relation above is the least one. Then applying δ to the relation above we obtain the relation

$$c_1 + 2c_2x + \cdots + nc_nx^{n-1} = 0$$

of smaller degree $n-1$ since $nc_n \neq 0$ ($\mathrm{char}\, K = 0$), a contradiction. So, $N' = C[x;d]$.

It remains to prove that $N = N'$. The inclusion $N' \subseteq N$ is obvious. In order to prove the inverse inclusion it suffices to show that all subspaces $N(\delta,i,R)$ belong to N'. We use induction on i. The base of the induction is trivial since $N(\delta,0,R) = C$. Suppose that $i > 0$, and $N(\delta,i-1,R) \subseteq N'$. Let u be an arbitrary element of $N(\delta,i,R)$. Then $\delta(u) \in N(\delta,i-1,R) \subseteq N'$. For an arbitrary element $a = \sum c_jx^j \in N'$, we have $\delta(b) = a$ where $b = \sum (j+1)^{-1}c_jx^{j+1} \in N'$. Therefore, in the case of $a = \delta(u) \in N'$, we have $\delta(u) = \delta(b)$ for some $b \in N'$. Hence, $\delta(u-b) = 0$, and $u \in b + C \subseteq N'$. This means that $N = N'$, as required. $\square$

Theorem 3.4 *Given* $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_r) \in \mathbf{I}_r$ *and* $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_r) \in \mathbf{J}_r$, *i.e.* $\Delta = \Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \neq 0$, *and* $\{j_{r+1}, \dots j_n\} = \{1, \dots, n\} \backslash \{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$ *and let* A_Δ *be the localization of the algebra* A *at the powers of the element* Δ. *Then*

1. *the algebra* $\mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)$ *of differential operators on* A_Δ *is a simple Noetherian algebra equal to the algebra* $A_\Delta\langle \partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},j_{r+1}}, \dots, \partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},j_{r+n}} \rangle$ *(generated by* A_Δ *and* $\mathrm{Der}_K(A_\Delta)$ *in* $\mathrm{End}_K(A_\Delta)$*).*

2. $\mathrm{Der}_K(A_\Delta) = \bigoplus_{\nu=r+1}^n A_\Delta \partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},j_\nu}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, \dots, r)$ and $\mathbf{j} = (1, 2, \dots, r)$. Let

$$\partial_{r+1} := \Delta^{-1} \partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},r+1}, \dots, \partial_n := \Delta^{-1} \partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},n}.$$

Then $\partial_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for all $i, j = r+1, \dots n$. Each derivation $[\partial_i, \partial_j]$ (the commutator of derivations) annihilates the polynomial subalgebra $K[x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n]$ of the finitely generated domain A, and both algebras have the same Krull dimension $n-r$. Since K has characteristic zero, it follows that the derivations ∂_i commute. Clearly, the inner derivations $\mathrm{ad}(x_{r+1}), \dots, \mathrm{ad}(x_n)$ of the algebra $E := \mathrm{End}_K(A_\Delta)$ commute. Applying several times Lemma 3.3 we see that the algebra

$$N = N(\mathrm{ad}(x_{r+1}), \dots, \mathrm{ad}(x_n); E) := \bigcap_{i=r+1}^n N(\mathrm{ad}(x_i), E) = C[\partial_{r+1}, \dots, \partial_n; \mathrm{ad}(\partial_{r+1}), \dots, \mathrm{ad}(\partial_n)]$$

is an iterated Ore extension with coefficients from the algebra $C := \cap_{i=r+1}^n \ker(\mathrm{ad}(x_i))$. So, any element u of N is uniquely written as a sum $u = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n-r}} c_\alpha \partial^\alpha$, $c_\alpha \in C$. The algebra $N = \cup_{i \geq 0} N_i$ has a natural filtration by the total degree of the ∂_ith. Clearly, $\mathcal{D}(A_\Delta) \subseteq N$ and $\mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)_i \subseteq N_i$ for each $i \geq 0$. Let us prove, by induction on i, that $\mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)_i = D_i := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq i} A_\Delta \partial^\alpha$. The case $i = 0$ is true, $\mathcal{D}(A_\Delta) = A_\Delta = D_0$. Suppose that $i > 0$, and by induction $\mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)_{i-1} = D_{i-1}$. Take $u \in \mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)_i$. Since $\mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)_i \subseteq N_i$, $u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq i} c_\alpha \partial^\alpha$ for some $c_\alpha \in C$. For each $j = r+1, \dots, n$, $\mathrm{ad}(x_j)(u) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq i} \alpha_j c_\alpha \partial^{\alpha - e_j} \in \mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)_{i-1} = D_{i-1}$, therefore all $c_\alpha \in A_\Delta$ such that $\alpha \neq 0$. Since $c_0 = u - \sum_{\alpha \neq 0, |\alpha| \leq i} c_\alpha \partial^\alpha \in C \cap \mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)_i$, it follows from the claim below that $c_0 \in A_\Delta$. Therefore $\mathcal{D}(A_\Delta) = D_i$, and so $\mathcal{D}(A_\Delta) = A_\Delta\langle \partial_{r+1}, \dots, \partial_n \rangle$. Since, on the one hand, $\mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)_1 = A_\Delta \oplus \mathrm{Der}_K(A_\Delta)$ (this is true for any commutative algebra), and, on the other hand, $\mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)_1 = A_\Delta \bigoplus (\sum_{i=r+1}^n A_\Delta \partial_i)$, we must have $\mathrm{Der}_K(A_\Delta) = \sum_{i=r+1}^n A_\Delta \partial_i$. So, the algebra $\mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)$ is generated by the algebra A_Δ and the derivations $\mathrm{Der}_K(A_\Delta)$. The derivations $\partial_{r+1} := \Delta^{-1} \partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},r+1}, \dots, \partial_n := \Delta^{-1} \partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},n}$ are equal to the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$ respectively. So, the algebra $\mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)$ is a factor algebra of the iterated Ore extension $A_\Delta[t_{r+1}, \dots, t_n; \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}]$ which is a Noetherian algebra since the algebra A_Δ is so. Then $\mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)$ is a Noetherian algebra.

Claim. $C \cap \mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)_i = A_\Delta$ *for all* $i \geq 0$.

We use induction on i. The case when $i = 0$ is trivial, $C \cap \mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)_0 = C \cap A_\Delta = A_\Delta$. Note that C is an A_Δ-bimodule, and so is invariant under $\mathrm{ad}(a)$ for any $a \in A_\Delta$. If the intersection $I_i := C \cap \mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)_i \neq A_\Delta$ for some $i \geq 1$, then obviously $I_1 \neq A_\Delta$. Fix an element, say $c \in I_1 \backslash A_\Delta$, and an element $a \in A_\Delta$ such that $0 \neq b := \mathrm{ad}(a)(c) \in I_0 = A_\Delta$. The map $c : A_\Delta \to A_\Delta$ is a $K[x]$-module homomorphism where $K[x] = K[x_{r+1}, \dots x_n]$. Let Q and $K(x)$ be the field of fractions of the algebras A_Δ and $K[x]$ respectively. The fields Q and $K(x)$ are finitely generated over K and have the same transcendence degree $n - r$ over K. Therefore $[Q : K(x)] < \infty$ and $Q = K(x) \otimes_{K[x]} A_\Delta$. Localizing at $K[x] \backslash \{0\}$, one can extend uniquely the map c to a $K(x)$-linear map from Q to Q. Let $p(t) \in K(x)[t]$ be a monic polynomial of least degree such that $p(c) = 0$. Then $0 = (\mathrm{ad}\, a)(p(c)) = p'(a)b$ where $p' = \frac{dp}{dx}$, and so $p'(a) = 0$. This contradicts the minimality of p (char $K = 0$). Therefore, I_i must be equal to A_Δ for all $i \geq 0$.

Let L be a nonzero ideal of $\mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)$. It remains to prove that $L = \mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)$. Take a nonzero element, say u, of L. Applying several times maps of the type $\mathrm{ad}(x_{j_k})$, $r + 1 \leq k \leq n$, to the element u we have a nonzero element, say $u_1 \in L \cap A_\Delta$. Since $\mathrm{Kdim}(A_\Delta) = \mathrm{Kdim}(K[x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n])$, we must have $A_\Delta u_1 \cap K[x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n] \neq 0$. Pick a nonzero element, say u_2, of the intersection, then applying several times maps of the type $\mathrm{ad}(\partial_{x_k})$, $r + 1 \leq k \leq n$, we have a nonzero scalar, hence $L = \mathcal{D}(A)$. This proves that the algebra $\mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)$ is a simple algebra. □

Corollary 3.5 *The derivations* $\Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})^{-1} \partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},j_{r+1}}, \dots, \Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})^{-1} \partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},j_n}$ *from Theorem 3.4 are respectively the partial derivatives* $\partial_{j_{r+1}} := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j_{r+1}}}, \dots, \partial_{j_n} := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$ *of the algebra* A_Δ *(and of the field of fractions of* A*).*

Remark. The equality $\partial_{j_{r+k}} := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j_{r+k}}}$ means that the derivation $\partial_{j_{r+1}}$ is a unique extension of the partial derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j_{r+1}}}$ of the polynomial algebra $K[x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n]$ to the algebra A_Δ.

Corollary 3.6 *Let* Q *be the field of fractions of the algebra* A*. Under the assumption of Theorem 3.4, the algebra* $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ *is generated by the field* Q *and the derivations* $\partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},j_{r+1}}, \dots, \partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},j_n}$ *and* $\mathrm{Der}_K(Q) = \bigoplus_{k=r+1}^n Q \partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},j_k}$.

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{D}(Q) \simeq Q \otimes_{A_\Delta} \mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)$ and $\mathrm{Der}_K(Q) \simeq Q \otimes_{A_\Delta} \mathrm{Der}_K(A_\Delta)$, and the results follow from Theorem 3.4. □

As a corollary we have a short (new) proof of the following key result on differential operators on a regular algebra.

Theorem 3.7 *Let the algebra* A *be a regular algebra. Then the algebra* $\mathcal{D}(A)$ *of differential operators on* A *is a simple Noetherian algebra generated by* A *and* $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)$*.*

Proof. Let Δ be the subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_K(A)$ generated by A and $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)$. By Theorem 3.4, $\mathcal{D}(A)_{\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} = \Delta_{\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})}$ for all non-singular $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$, or equivalently $\prod_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} \mathcal{D}(A)_{\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(A)} (\mathcal{D}(A)/\Delta) = 0$. By Theorem 3.1, we must have $\mathcal{D}(A) = \Delta$. By Theorems 3.1 and 3.4, $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is a simple Noetherian algebra. □

Proposition 3.8 *Let* $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}' \in \mathbf{I}_r$*,* $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_r) \in \mathbf{J}_r$*,* $\mathbf{j}' = (j_1', \dots, j_{r+1}') \in \mathbf{J}_{r+1}$*, and* $\{j_{r+1}, \dots j_n\} = \{1, \dots, n\} \backslash \{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$*. Then*

1.

$$\partial_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'} = \Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})^{-1}\sum_{l=1}^{s}(-1)^{r+1+\nu_l}\Delta(\mathbf{i}';j_1',\ldots,\widehat{j_{\nu_l}'},\ldots,j_{r+1}')\partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},j_{\nu_l}'} \tag{22}$$

where $j_{\nu_1}',\ldots,j_{\nu_s}'$ *are the elements of the set* $\{j_1',\ldots,j_r',j_{r+1}'\}\backslash\{j_1,\ldots,j_r\}$.

2. $\partial_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'} = (-1)^{r+1+k}\frac{\Delta(\mathbf{i}';j_1',\ldots,\widehat{j_k'},\ldots,j_{r+1}')}{\Delta(\mathbf{i};j_1',\ldots,\widehat{j_k'},\ldots,j_{r+1}')}\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}'}$ *provided* $\Delta(\mathbf{i};j_1',\ldots,\widehat{j_k'},\ldots,j_{r+1}')\neq 0$.

Proof. 1. By Theorem 2.12, $\partial_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'} = \Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})^{-1}\sum_{k=r+1}^{n}\lambda_k\partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},j_k}$ for some $\lambda_k\in A$. For each $k'=r+1,\ldots,n$, $\partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},j_k}(x_{j_{k'}}) = \delta_{k,k'}\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})$. Then evaluating the equality above at x_{j_k}, one gets the equality $\lambda_k = \partial_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}(x_{j_k})$. So, $\lambda_k = 0$ if $j_k\notin\{j_{\nu_1}',\ldots,j_{\nu_s}'\}$, and if $j_k = j_{\nu_l}'$ then $\lambda_k = (-1)^{r+1+\nu_l}\Delta(\mathbf{i};j_1',\ldots,\widehat{j_{\nu_l}'},\ldots,j_{r+1}')$. This finishes the proof of the first statement.

2. By the first statement where we put $\mathbf{j} = (j_1',\ldots,\widehat{j_k'},\ldots,j_{r+1}')$, we have $\partial_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'} = (-1)^{r+1+k}\frac{\Delta(\mathbf{i}';j_1',\ldots,\widehat{j_k'},\ldots,j_{r+1}')}{\Delta(\mathbf{i};j_1',\ldots,\widehat{j_k'},\ldots,j_{r+1}')}\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}'}$. □

Remark. Let us fix elements $\mathbf{i}\in\mathbf{I}_r$ and $\mathbf{j}\in\mathbf{J}_r$. Then, for each $\mathbf{i}'\in\mathbf{I}_r$ and $\mathbf{j}'\in\mathbf{J}_{r+1}$ (as above), let $a(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}')$ be the vector of coefficients $(\lambda_{r+1},\ldots,\lambda_n)$ from the proof of Proposition 3.8. If A is a *regular* algebra, the vectors $a(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}')$ form a generating set for the A-module of solutions to the system of inclusions from Theorem 2.12 (by Theorem 2.5).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $D = D(A)$ be the algebra generated by A and $d_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ that satisfy the defining relations (4) and (5). By (4), the inner derivation $\mathrm{ad}(d_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}})$ of the algebra D acts on the algebra A as the derivation $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$. There is a natural K-algebra epimorphism $D\rightarrow\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(A)$ which maps $a\mapsto a$ ($a\in A$) and $d_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}\mapsto\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$. Since A is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}$, the algebra A is a subalgebra of D as well. It follows from (4) that $D = \sum Ad_*\cdots d_*$ where d_* stands for any element $d_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$, and then for any element $0\neq s\in A$ there exists a left and right Ore localization of the algebra D at the powers of the element s, denoted D_s, and $D_s = \sum A_sd_*\cdots d_*$. We have a commutative diagram of algebra homomorphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \prod_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbf{I}_r,\mathbf{j}\in\mathbf{J}_r} D_{\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{D} \arrow[r] & \prod_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbf{I}_r,\mathbf{j}\in\mathbf{J}_r} \mathcal{D}_{\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where horizontal maps are faithfully flat extensions (since $A = (\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}))_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbf{I}_r,\mathbf{j}\in\mathbf{J}_r}$ as A is regular) and vertical maps are epimorphisms. By Proposition 3.8 and (5), the epimorphism $D_{\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})}\rightarrow\mathcal{D}_{\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})}$ is in fact an isomorphism. So, the right vertical map is an algebra isomorphism. Then the left vertical map must be an isomorphism (by faithfully flatness), i.e. $D\simeq\mathcal{D}$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The arguments are similar to that in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\mathrm{DER}(A)$ be a left A-module generated by symbols $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ subject to the defining relations (1). We have a commutative diagram of left A-modules:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{DER}(A) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \prod_{\mathbf{i}\in \mathbf{I}_r, \mathbf{j}\in \mathbf{J}_r} \mathrm{DER}(A)_{\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Der}_K(A) \arrow[r] & \prod_{\mathbf{i}\in \mathbf{I}_r, \mathbf{j}\in \mathbf{J}_r} \mathrm{Der}_K(A)_{\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where horizontal maps are faithfully flat A-module monomorphisms as $A = (\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}))_{\mathbf{i}\in \mathbf{I}_r, \mathbf{j}\in \mathbf{J}_r}$ (A is regular) and vertical maps are natural A-module epimorphisms. By Proposition 3.8, Theorem 2.12, and (1), each epimorphism $\mathrm{DER}(A)_{\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_K(A)_{\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})}$ is an isomorphism. So, the right vertical map must be an isomorphism, and so the left vertical map must be an isomorphism (by faithfully flatness), i.e. $\mathrm{DER}(A) \simeq \mathrm{Der}_K(A)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. ($1 \Rightarrow 2$) By Theorem 1.2, $\mathcal{D}(A) = \mathfrak{D}(A)$ and the result follows from Theorem 1.3 (or from Theorem 3.7).

($2 \Rightarrow 3$) Suppose that the $\mathfrak{D}(A)$-module A is not simple then it contains a proper ideal, say $\mathfrak{a}$, stable under $\mathrm{der}_K(A)$. Then $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{D}(A)$ is a proper ideal of the algebra $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ since $0 \neq \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{D}(A)(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$, a contradiction.

($3 \Rightarrow 1$) By Lemma 2.7, $\mathrm{der}_K(A)(A) = \mathfrak{a}_r$ is a nonzero $\mathfrak{D}(A)$-submodule of A, therefore $A = \mathfrak{a}_r$ since A is a simple $\mathfrak{D}(A)$-module. So, A is a regular algebra. $\square$

Any element δ of the algebra $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ is a sum of elements ad where $a \in A$ and d is a product of the derivations $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$. So, the algebra $\mathfrak{D}(A) = \cup_{i\geq 0}\mathfrak{D}(A)_i$ has a natural filtration by the total degree of natural derivations, and the associated graded algebra $\mathrm{gr}\,\mathfrak{D}(A) := \bigoplus_{i\geq 0}\mathfrak{D}(A)_i/\mathfrak{D}(A)_{i-1}$ is a *commutative finitely generated* algebra. Therefore, *the algebra* $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ *is a (left and right) Noetherian algebra.* The algebra $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ is a *domain* as a subalgebra of the domain $\mathcal{D}(Q)$. Kdim stands for the (left or right) *Krull dimension* (they both coincide for algebras we consider). For a definition and properties of Kdim the reader is referred to [6], Ch. 6.

Lemma 3.9 *1. The algebra* $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ *is a (left and right) Noetherian domain.*

2. $\mathrm{GK}\,(\mathfrak{D}(A)) = 2\mathrm{Kdim}(A)$.

3. $\mathrm{Kdim}(A) \leq \mathrm{Kdim}(\mathfrak{D}(A)) \leq 2\mathrm{Kdim}(A)$.

4. If A *is a regular algebra then* $\mathrm{Kdim}(\mathfrak{D}(A)) = \mathrm{gldim}(\mathfrak{D}(A)) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{GK}\,(\mathfrak{D}(A)) = \mathrm{Kdim}(A)$.

Proof. 2. Let $d = \mathrm{Kdim}(A)$. Recall that $\mathrm{GK}\,(\Delta(A)) = 2d$ ([6], 15.3.6.(ii)). Then $\mathfrak{D}(A) \subseteq \Delta(A)$ implies $\mathrm{GK}\,(\mathfrak{D}(A)) \leq \mathrm{GK}\,(\Delta(A)) = 2d$.

Fix $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_r$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_r$ then $\Delta = \Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \neq 0$ and the localization A_Δ of the algebra A at the powers of the element Δ is a regular algebra, and so the algebra $\mathfrak{D}(A_\Delta) = \mathcal{D}(A_\Delta) \simeq \mathcal{D}(A)_\Delta$ (Theorem 1.2) contains the Weyl algebra $A_{n-r} = K\langle x_{j_{r+1}}, \ldots, x_{j_n}, \partial_{j_{r+1}}, \ldots, \partial_{j_n}\rangle$ (see Corollary 3.5 and Theorem 3.4). It follows immediately that standard monomials in $x_{j_{r+1}}, \ldots, x_{j_n}, \partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},j_{r+1}} := \Delta\partial_{j_{r+1}}, \ldots, \partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},j_n} := \Delta\partial_{j_n} \in \mathfrak{D}(A)$ are K-linearly independent in $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ (a standard monomial means an element of the type $x_{j_{r+1}}^{n_{r+1}} \cdots x_{j_n}^{n_n}\partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},j_{r+1}}^{m_{r+1}} \cdots \partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},j_n}^{m_n}$

where $n_{r+1}, \dots, m_n$ are non-negative integers). Therefore, $\mathrm{GK}\,(\mathfrak{D}(A)) \geq \mathrm{GK}\,(A_{n-r}) = 2(n-r) = 2d$, and so $\mathrm{GK}\,(\mathfrak{D}(A)) = 2d$.

3. $\mathfrak{D}(A)_\Delta \simeq \mathfrak{D}(A_\Delta) = \mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)$ implies $\mathrm{Kdim}(\mathfrak{D}(A)) \geq \mathrm{Kdim}(\mathcal{D}(A_\Delta)) = \mathrm{Kdim}(A_\Delta) = d$. Recall that the algebra $\mathfrak{D}(A) = \cup_{i\geq 0}\mathfrak{D}(A)_i$ is the filtered algebra such that the associated graded algebra $\mathrm{gr}(\mathfrak{D}(A))$ is a commutative finitely generated algebra, and so $\mathrm{Kdim}(\mathrm{gr}\,\mathfrak{D}(A)) = \mathrm{GK}\,(\mathrm{gr}\,\mathfrak{D}(A))$ ([6], 8.2.14).

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Kdim}(\mathfrak{D}(A)) &\leq \mathrm{Kdim}(\mathrm{gr}\,\mathfrak{D}(A)) \quad ([6], 6.5.6)\\ &= \mathrm{GK}\,(\mathrm{gr}\,\mathfrak{D}(A)) \leq \mathrm{GK}\,(\mathfrak{D}(A)) = 2d \;\; ([6], 8.3.20).\end{aligned}$$

4. If A is regular then $\mathfrak{D}(A) = \mathcal{D}(A)$, and for the algebra $\mathcal{D}(A)$ the statements are well-known (see [6], Chapter 15). $\square$

4 Generators and defining relations for the ring of differential operators on a regular algebra of essentially finite type

In this section, we prove that the main results for the algebra A (Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 1.4) also hold for localizations of the algebra A.

Definition. A localization of an *affine* algebra is called an algebra of **essentially finite type**.

Let $\mathcal{A} := S^{-1}A$ be a localization of the algebra $A = P_n/I$ at a multiplicatively closed subset S of A. Recall that the functors $\mathrm{Der}_K(\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\cdot)$ commute with localizations: $\mathrm{Der}_K(S^{-1}A) \simeq S^{-1}\mathrm{Der}_K(A)$, $\mathcal{D}(S^{-1}A) \simeq S^{-1}\mathcal{D}(A)$ and $\mathcal{D}(S^{-1}A)_i \simeq S^{-1}\mathcal{D}(A)_i$ for all $i \geq 0$.

Definition. The $\mathcal{A}$-module $\mathrm{der}_K(\mathcal{A}) := \sum_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbf{I}_r, \mathbf{j}\in\mathbf{J}_{r+1}} \mathcal{A}\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ is called the $\mathcal{A}$-module of **natural derivations** of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of essentially finite type, and the subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ generated by $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathrm{der}_K(\mathcal{A})$ is called the algebra of **natural differential operators** on $\mathcal{A}$ denoted $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})$.

By the very definition, the functors $\mathrm{der}_K(\cdot)$ and $\mathfrak{D}(\cdot)$ commute with localizations: $\mathrm{der}_K(S^{-1}A) \simeq S^{-1}\mathrm{der}_K(A)$, $\mathfrak{D}(S^{-1}A) \simeq S^{-1}\mathfrak{D}(A)$ and $\mathfrak{D}(S^{-1}A)_i \simeq S^{-1}\mathfrak{D}(A)_i$ for all $i \geq 0$.

The next lemma follows from these facts and from Theorem 2.2.

Lemma 4.1 *1. The $\mathcal{A}$-module $\mathrm{der}_K(\mathcal{A})$ does not depend on the choice of presentation of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ as $S^{-1}P_n/I$ (i.e. $S^{-1}P_n/I \simeq S'^{-1}P_{n'}/I'$ implies $\mathrm{der}_K(S^{-1}P_n/I) \simeq \mathrm{der}_K(S'^{-1}P_{n'}/I')$).*

2. The algebra of natural differential operators $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})$ on $\mathcal{A}$ does not depend on the presentation of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ as $S^{-1}P_n/I$.

The *Jacobian criterion of regularity* holds for the algebra $\mathcal{A}$: *the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a regular algebra iff $S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}_r = \mathcal{A}$* ([5], Theorem 30.3 and Remark 2, p.235). So, if the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is *regular* we have the faithfully flat extension of left $\mathcal{A}$-modules:

$$\mathrm{Der}_K(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbf{I}_r, \mathbf{j}\in\mathbf{J}_r} \mathrm{Der}_K(\mathcal{A})_{\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})},$$

and the faithfully flat extension of algebras

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbf{I}_r, \mathbf{j}\in\mathbf{J}_r} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})_{\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})}.$$

These are the main ingredients of the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.1. Now, the analogous results for the algebra $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ follow easily using the facts that that differential operators and natural differential operators commute with localizations.

Theorem 4.2 *Let the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ be a regular algebra. Then the left $\mathcal{A}$-module $\mathrm{Der}_K(\mathcal{A})$ is generated by derivations $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$, $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_r$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{r+1}$ that satisfy the defining relations (1) (as a left $\mathcal{A}$-module).*

Proof. Repeat the proof of Theorem 1.1. $\Box$

Theorem 4.3 *Let the algebra A be a regular algebra. Then the ring of differential operators $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ on $\mathcal{A}$ is generated over K by the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and the derivations $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$, $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_r$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{r+1}$ that satisfy the defining relations (1) and (2).*

Proof. It is well-known that the ring of differential operators on a regular domain of essentially finite type is generated by the algebra itself and its derivations. By Theorem 4.2, the algebra $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ is generated by $\mathcal{A}$ and $\partial_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$, $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_r$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{r+1}$. It is well-known that the algebra $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ is a simple Noetherian domain. Now, the facts just mentioned and proved and using the faithfully flat extension of algebras $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbf{I}_r, \mathbf{j}\in\mathbf{J}_r} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})_{\Delta(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})}$, one can repeat word for word the proof of Theorem 1.2 to finish the proof of theorem. $\Box$.

Theorem 4.4 *(Criterion of regularity via $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})$) The following statements are equivalent.*

1. *$\mathcal{A}$ is a regular algebra.*
2. *$\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})$ is a simple algebra.*
3. *$\mathcal{A}$ is a simple $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})$-module.*

Proof. ($1 \Rightarrow 2$) If $\mathcal{A}$ is a regular algebra then $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ is a simple Noetherian algebra generated by $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathrm{Der}_K(\mathcal{A})$. By Theorem 4.3, $\mathrm{Der}_K(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{der}_K(\mathcal{A})$, hence $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ is a simple algebra.

($2 \Rightarrow 3$) Suppose that the $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})$-module $\mathcal{A}$ is not simple then it contains a proper ideal, say $\mathfrak{a}$, stable under $\mathrm{der}_K(\mathcal{A})$. Then $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})$ is a proper ideal of the algebra $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})$ since $0 \neq \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$, a contradiction.

($3 \Rightarrow 1$) Lemma 2.7 holds for the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ as well (with the same proof), and so $\mathrm{der}_K(\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{A}) = S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}_r$ is a nonzero $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})$-submodule of $\mathcal{A}$, therefore $\mathcal{A} = S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}_r$ since $\mathcal{A}$ is a simple $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})$-module. So, $\mathcal{A}$ is a regular algebra. $\square$

The algebra $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A}) = \cup_{i\geq 0}\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})_i$ has a natural filtration by the total degree of natural derivations, and the associated graded algebra $\mathrm{gr}\,\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A}) := \bigoplus_{i\geq 0}\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})_i/\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})_{i-1}$ is a *commutative finitely generated* $\mathcal{A}$*-algebra.*

Lemma 4.5 *1. The algebra $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})$ is a (left and right) Noetherian domain.*

2. $\mathrm{GK}\,(\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})) = 2\mathrm{GK}\,(\mathcal{A})$.

3. $\mathrm{Kdim}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \mathrm{Kdim}(\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})) \leq 2\mathrm{GK}\,(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. 1. Since $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathfrak{D}(S^{-1}A) \simeq S^{-1}\mathfrak{D}(A)$, the algebra $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})$ is a Noetherian domain (Lemma 3.9. (1)).

2. $A \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subseteq Q$ implies (by Lemma 3.9.(2))

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathrm{GK}\,(\mathcal{A}) &= 2\mathrm{GK}\,(A) = 2\mathrm{Kdim}(A) = \mathrm{GK}\,(\mathfrak{D}(A)) \leq \mathrm{GK}\,(\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})) \\ &\leq \mathrm{GK}\,(\mathcal{D}(Q)) = 2\mathrm{GK}\,(Q) = 2\mathrm{GK}\,(\mathcal{A}), \end{aligned}$$

and so $\mathrm{GK}\,(\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})) = 2\mathrm{GK}\,(\mathcal{A})$.

3. Fix $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_r$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_r$ then $\Delta = \Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \neq 0$, and the localization $\mathcal{A}_\Delta$ of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ at the powers of the element Δ is a regular algebra. $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})_\Delta \simeq \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A}_\Delta) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_\Delta)$ (Theorem 4.3) implies $\mathrm{Kdim}(\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A})) \geq \mathrm{Kdim}(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_\Delta)) \geq \mathrm{Kdim}(\mathcal{A}_\Delta)$, the last inequality is due to the fact that the map $U \mapsto \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_\Delta)U$ (resp. $U \mapsto U\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_\Delta)$) from the set of ideals of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_\Delta$ to the set of left (resp. right) ideals of the algebra $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_\Delta)$ is an injection since $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_\Delta) = \mathcal{A}_\Delta \oplus \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_\Delta)\mathrm{Der}_K(\mathcal{A}_\Delta)$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_\Delta) = \mathcal{A}_\Delta \oplus \mathrm{Der}_K(\mathcal{A}_\Delta)\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_\Delta)$). Now,

$$\mathrm{Kdim}(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})) = \mathrm{Kdim}(S^{-1}\mathcal{D}(A)) \leq \mathrm{Kdim}(\mathcal{D}(A)) \leq 2\mathrm{Kdim}(A) = 2\mathrm{GK}\,(A) = 2\mathrm{GK}\,(\mathcal{A}),$$

by Lemma 3.9.(3). $\square$

Remark. A typical situation when the results of this section can be applied is the ring of differential operators on the algebra of regular functions in the neighbourhood of a *simple* point (of a singular variety): given a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of A such that $\mathfrak{a}_r \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, then $A_\mathfrak{p}$ is a smooth domain of essentially finite type, and so for the ring of differential operators $\mathcal{D}(A_\mathfrak{p})$ all the results of this section hold.

5 Ring of differential operators on a singular irreducible affine algebraic variety

Lemma 5.1 *Let $R = K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n\rangle$ be a commutative finitely generated algebra over the field K, and $\mathcal{D}(R)$ be the ring of differential operators on R. Each element $\delta \in \mathcal{D}(R)_i$ is completely determined by its values on the elements x^α, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|\alpha| \leq i$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that given $\delta \in \mathcal{D}(R)_i$ satisfying $\delta(x^\alpha) = 0$ for all α such that $|\alpha| \leq i$ then $\delta = 0$. We use induction on i. The case $i = 0$ is trivial: $\delta \in \mathcal{D}(R)_0 = R$ and $0 = \delta \cdot 1 = \delta$. Suppose that the statement is true for all $i' < i$. For each x_j, $[\delta, x_j] \in \mathcal{D}(R)_{i-1}$ and, for each x^α with $|\alpha| \leq i-1$, $[\delta, x_j](x^\alpha) = \delta(x_j x^\alpha) - x_j \delta(x^\alpha) = 0$. By induction, $[\delta, x_j] = 0$. Now, for any x^α,

$$\delta(x^\alpha) = \delta(x_j x^{\alpha - e_j}) = x_j \delta(x^{\alpha - e_j}) + [\delta, x_j](x^{\alpha - e_j}) = x_j \delta(x^{\alpha - e_j}) = \cdots = x^\alpha \delta(1) = 0,$$

and so $\delta = 0$, as required. $\Box$

Let S be a multiplicatively closed subset of the algebra A. Let us consider a natural inclusion $\mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq S^{-1}\mathcal{D}(A)$ of filtered algebras (by the total degree of derivations). $\mathcal{D}(A) = \{\delta \in S^{-1}\mathcal{D}(A) \,|\, \delta(A) \subseteq A\}$ and $\mathcal{D}(A)_i = \{\delta \in S^{-1}\mathcal{D}(A)_i \,|\, \delta(A) \subseteq A\}$, $i \geq 0$.

Lemma 5.2 *Given $\delta \in S^{-1}\mathcal{D}(A)_i$. Then $\delta \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ iff $\delta(x^\alpha) \in A$ for all α such that $|\alpha| \leq i$.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Trivial.

($\Leftarrow$) We use induction on i. When $i = 0$, $\delta \in S^{-1}\mathcal{D}(A)_0 = S^{-1}A$ and $\delta = \delta(1) = \delta \cdot 1 \in A$. Suppose that the statement is true for all $i' < i$. Let $\delta \in S^{-1}\mathcal{D}(A)_i$ satisfy $\delta(x^\alpha) \in A$ for all α such that $|\alpha| \leq i$. For each j, $[\delta, x_j] \in S^{-1}\mathcal{D}(R)_{i-1}$ and, for each x^α with $|\alpha| \leq i-1$, $[\delta, x_j](x^\alpha) = \delta(x_j x^\alpha) - x_j \delta(x^\alpha) \in A$, and so, by induction, $[\delta, x_j] \in \mathcal{D}(A)_{i-1}$. Now, for any x^α,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x^\alpha) &= \delta(x_j x^{\alpha - e_j}) = x_j \delta(x^{\alpha - e_j}) + [\delta, x_j](x^{\alpha - e_j}) \equiv x_j \delta(x^{\alpha - e_j}) \bmod A \\ &\equiv \cdots \equiv x^\alpha \delta(1) \equiv 0 \bmod A, \end{aligned}$$

and so $\delta \in \mathcal{D}(A)_i$. $\Box$

Proposition 5.3 *Suppose that $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_r)$ and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \ldots, j_r)$ are non-singular, let $\partial_{j_{r+1}} := \Delta^{-1}\partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},j_{r+1}}, \ldots, \partial_{j_n} := \Delta^{-1}\partial_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j},j_n}$ where $\Delta = \Delta(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})$ and $\{j_{r+1}, \ldots, j_n\} = \{1, \ldots, n\} \backslash \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}$.*

1. *For each $i \geq 0$, $\mathcal{D}(A)_i = \{\delta \in \sum_{|\alpha| \leq i} A\partial^\alpha \,|\, \delta(x^\beta) \in A$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$ where $\alpha = (\alpha_{r+1}, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-r}$ and $\partial^\alpha = \prod_{k=r+1}^n \partial_{j_k}^{\alpha_k}$ (recall that the derivations $\partial_{j_{r+1}}, \ldots, \partial_{j_n}$ commute).*

2. *For each $i \geq 0$, $\mathcal{D}(A)_i$ is a finitely generated left A-module.*

Proof. 1. Clearly, $\mathcal{D}(A)_i = \{\delta \in \sum_{|\alpha| \leq i} A_\Delta \partial^\alpha \,|\, \delta(A) \subseteq A\}$. Then, by Lemma 5.2, $\mathcal{D}(A)_i = \{\delta \in \sum_{|\alpha| \leq i} A_\Delta \partial^\alpha \,|\, \delta(x^\beta) \subseteq A$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $|\beta| \leq i\}$. Note that $\partial_{j_{r+1}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j_{r+1}}}, \ldots, \partial_{j_n} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j_n}}$. Then the conditions that $\delta(x_{j_{r+1}}^{\gamma_{r+1}} \cdots x_{j_n}^{\gamma_n}) \in A$ for all $\gamma = (\gamma_{r+1}, \ldots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-r}$ with $|\gamma| \leq i$ are equivalent to $\delta \in \sum_{|\alpha| \leq i} A\partial^\alpha$. This gives the first statement.

2. $\mathcal{D}(A)_i$ is a Noetherian left A-module as a submodule of the Noetherian A-module $\sum_{|\alpha| \leq i} A\partial^\alpha$, and so $\mathcal{D}(A)_i$ is a finitely generated left A-module. $\Box$

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.

[2] I. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand and S. I. Gelfand, Differential operators on a cubic cone. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **27** (1972), no. 1, 185–190.

[3] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra. With a view toward algebraic geometry.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 150. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[4] H. Fitting, Die Determinantenideale eines Moduls. *Jahrbericht der Deutschen Math.-Vereinigung*, **46** (1936), 195-229.

[5] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.

[6] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian rings.* With the cooperation of L. W. Small. Revised edition. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 30. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.

[7] S. P. Smith and J. T. Stafford, Differential operators on an affine curve. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **56** (1988), no. 2, 229–259.

[8] O. Zariski, Studies in equisingularity 1, *Amer. J. Math.*, **87** (1965), 507-536.

Department of Pure Mathematics
University of Sheffield
Hicks Building
Sheffield S3 7RH
UK
email: v.bavula@sheffield.ac.uk